

IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDL.DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
KODAGU-MADIKERI (SITTING AT VIRAJPET)

S.C.5010/2022

PW:8
CW:17
Duly sworn on 16.10.2025

Name of the witness	Jagadish Dhulashetti
Father Name	Shreeshail
Age	37 years
Occupation	PSI, T Narasipura Police station
Residence & Mobile Number	T Narasipura

Examination-in-chief by Special Public Prosecutor:

1. From 02.11.2022 to 09.05.2022 I have worked as PSI in Virajpet Town PS.
2. On 09.01.2021 at 2.45 PM, I was in station, PW.4 C T Jayakumar, Dy.S.P., Virajpet Sub Division came to Police station and filed written complaint at Ex.P.9. On receipt of complaint I have registered the case in Crime No.3/2021 for the offences punishable under section 4, 5, 6 of Immoral Trafficking(prevention) Act 1956 and section 370A of IPC and

submitted FIR to the Court and Higher Officials. My signature in the complaint is marked as **Ex.P.9(b)**. FIR is **Ex.P.20, 20(a)** is my signature.

3. After registration of case, I had accompanied PW.4 for raid. We went to Arji Village, Mist Home stay and stopped the vehicle in front of said home stay. On first floor of the building, 3 persons were sitting. On seeing us one person has ran away. Other two persons were enquired and disclosed their name as Hemalatha and Shivaraj.

4. PW.4 informed accused No.2 and 3 about intended raid as per the information. The room was knocked and the door was opened by the one person, another person who was with one lady on bed in semi nude position. PW.4 enquired the inmates of the room, they disclosed their name as a Diwakar/PW.1 and Sujith/PW.3. The lady name as Yasmin/PW.2.

5. PW.4 enquired PW.2, she has stated that accused No.3 as brought in auto-rickshaw to Kushalnagar for prostitution. PW.1 and 3 stated that accused No.1 has asked them about requirement of lady for sex and asked them to pay sum of Rs.5,000/-. They paid Rs.8,000/-, the remaining amount will be paid at the end.
6. PW.2 has stated that he has received Rs.4,000/- as advance from accused No.1. PW.4 verified the room and found MO.2 to 4 on bed. MO.2 to 4 now shown to me. Thereafter, MO.1 and 5 amount were seized by packing the same and sealed in presence of witness. Homestay register at Ex.P.17 was verified, the names of PW.1 to 3 was not entered. The auto-rickshaw of accused No.3 bearing No.KA-12-B-4275, used for commission of offence to bring PW.2 from Kushalanagar was also seized under Ex.P.2 mahazar. Ex.P.3 photograph was taken at the spot. Ex.P.10 series the photo of auto-rickshaw is appearing. I have signed in the mahazar and marked as **Ex.P.2(f)**. Along with

properties and accused No.2 and 3, PW.2 were brought to Police station. PW.4 instructed me to trace the person who ran away from the place.

7. On 10.01.2021 accused No.1 was apprehended from Arji Village from his house at 8.30 AM with CW.8 and 10, produced before PW.4 at 9.30 PM with report at Ex.P.14, **14(b)** is my signature. Now I see the accused No.1 and 3 present before the Court, I can identify accused No.2 if shown to me, she is absent. Identity is not disputed.

Cross Examination: Sri.KNV Advocate for accused:

8. I went to spot with my Departmental vehicle, whereas PW.4 had come to spot with his Departmental vehicle. I left Police station at 3.30 PM. Home stay is situated at a distance of 4-5 kms from Virajpet Town Police station. After registration of FIR and submission for FIR to the Court, I left the Police station to the spot.

9. I had made entry in the station diary as well as movement register regarding visited the spot for raid. I do not know whether station diary is submitted by the IO or not. It is not true that on that day I did not left the Police station. Both vehicles went to spot together. PW.4 has opened the home stay compound gate. Ground floor of the building is a residential house of accused No.1 and 2. It is not true that suggest that accused No.1 and 2 are not residing in the ground floor of the home stay and they are residing at Arameri Village.

10. At the time of ride, the local persons were not there. PW.4 has secured the witness to the spot by name Mohammad Ali and Majeed. It is not true that the said witnesses are stock witness to our station. PW.4 has raided the place, the search warrant is not required. It is not true to suggest that to conduct raid search warrant is required from the Court. I do not know whether PW.4 as prepared the search warrant or not.

11. PW.4 has asked to open the first floor room. It is not true that I did not visit the spot and no raid was conducted in the spot. It is not true that Ex.P.2 mahazar was not conducted, MO.1 to 5, Ex.P.17 and auto-rickshaw appearing in Ex.P.10 series was not seized in my presence and it is created in the Police station. It is not true that auto-rickshaw is planted to this case. It is not true that no one was found in the room with semi nude position. My signature is not there on MO.1 to 5.

12. I do not remember that accused No.1 and 2 filed a complaint in our station against the villagers for harassing them for running home stay came from out side. It is not true that with intention to close the home stay at the instance of villagers false case has been filed. It is not true that accused were not present at the time of alleged raid. It is not true that PW.4 has not instructed me to trace accused No.1 and I did not apprehended him and produced before PW.4. 500 rupee denomination 8 notes were seized from PW.2. I do not know

the currency notes numbers. It is not true that I have not signed at the spot and prepared in the Police station.

Re- Examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

Sd/-

II Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Kodagu-Madikeri(Sitting at Virajpet)