

IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDL.DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
KODAGU-MADIKERI (SITTING AT VIRAJPET)

S.C. 5005/2025

PW:2

CW:2

Duly sworn on 10.11.2025

Name of the witness	Shobha
Husband Name	Subramani
Age	26 years
Occupation	Coolie work
Residence & Mobile Number	Heggala

Examination-in-chief by Public Prosecutor:

1. PW.1 is my husband. CW.3/Ganesh is my neighbour, CW.4/Yalambi is wife of CW.3. CW.5 is the owner of line house. I know accused produced through VC, he resides in different place.
2. Accused often visiting line house of CW.3. On 06.11.2024 at 7.00 pm, myself and my husband were in line house, it was holiday for work. The accused at 7.00 pm came to line house of CW.3/Ganesh. My line house is situated

opposite to line house CW.3/Genesh in between two houses there exist road. Accused and CW.3 were quarreling. My husband went to house of Ganesh and asked them about quarreling, and told them that he would informed to owner Mahesh. Accused with intention not to spare my husband, he was standing by the side of road side fence, by that time my husband coming towards my house from the house of Ganesh. The accused with MO.1 katti attacked my husband on right side of the neck, my husband raised his left hand to shield, his left hand thumb and index finger sustained injury. Thumb finger amputated with skin intact. I rushed to the spot, CW.3 and 4 also came there. I have informed owner CW.5. My husband was taken to Virajpet Government Hospital. After first aid treatment he was shifted to Madikeri Hospital, after treatment there in the night again he was shifted back to Virajpet Government hospital.

3. My husband has taken treatment about one week as inpatient. Police came to hospital, enquired my husband and he gave first information statement at Ex.P.1. As per the request of Police, I had shown the place after three days. Again witness says on 07.11.2024 I had shown the place. Aneesh and Praveen were present. The place of incident is in front of my house on road. Police collected sample soil and blood stained soil. Same were kept in the plastic container, wrapped in white cloth and sealed.
4. Now I see the two sealed articles, opened before the Court and identified as blood stained soil and sample soil are marked as **MO.4 and 5**. Now I see the mahazar marked as **Ex.P.4, 4(a)** is my signature. The photos were taken at the time of mahazar. The spot is appearing in the two photographs as I am showing. The two photos are marked as **Ex.P.5 and 6**. Ex.P.5 is the place of incident, Ex.P.6 I am showing my house. Another photo where the blood

stained were found and marked as **Ex.P.7**. The witnesses and police are appearing.

5. The accused has used MO.1 now shown to me as a weapon to attack my husband. MO.2 and 3 the blood stained cloths belongs to my husband, which were worn at the time of incident, which are blood stained, I have handed over the above said cloths in the police station after three days. Police conducted the mahazar. Now I see the mahazar marked as **Ex.P.8, 8(a)** is my signature. At that time, the two photographs were taken as shown to me. Which are marked as **Ex.P.9 and 10**. I am appearing showing blood stained cloth of my husband and handing over to police.

6. The two photographs now shown to me at Ex.P.2 and 3 are my husband photos appearing with injury on his neck and left hand fingers taking treatment in the hospital. I have given statement in this regard. Now I see the accused

produced through video conference and identified him the person who attacked katti to kill him aiming neck.

(At the stage, time is 2.10 pm, cross examination deferred to afternoon section)

ROI & AC

Sd/-

II Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Kodagu-Madikeri(Sitting at Virajpet)

Witness recalled and duly sworn at 3.50 pm:

7. It is true that the accused, CW.5/Ganesh are related to my husband. It is true that the accused works in the estate of Achupanda Pattu. It is true that my husband was telling work in the estate of my owner/CW.5. It is true that the accused visiting house of Ganesh, taking drinks and enjoying. It is not true that they were not liking accused coming to house of Ganesh and enjoying.
8. I do not know on the date of alleged incident accused has brought half bottle brandy to CW.5 house. On the date of incident, accused came to house of CW.5. Around 5.30

pm, accused came to house of Ganesh. They were there in the house of Ganesh. It is true that the accused and Ganesh after taking drinks making quarrel, myself and my husband went there. It is not true that my husband had taken katti and went to the house of Ganesh. It is true that MO.1 is a kapatu katti it is available in every house and labourer.

9. Myself and my husband went to house of CW.5/Ganesh, when they were quarreling. It is not true that my husband taking katti threaten accused. It is not true that my husband attempted to attack accused with katti, intern the accused in his defence he attacked with katti to my husband. It is true that only when accused, Ganesh taken drinks there will be quarrel. It is true that myself and my husband have also taken drinks at that day. It is not true that myself and my husband had jealous of accused and Ganesh in enjoying themselves without taking us. It is true that accused had no intention of killin my husband. It is

not true that mahazar was signed in the police station not in the spot. I do not know contents of mahazar. I have signed on say of police.

Re-examination:NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

Sd/-

II Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Kodagu-Madikeri(Sitting at Virajpet)