

IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDL.DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
KODAGU-MADIKERI (SITTING AT VIRAJPET)

S.C. 5009/2021

PW:10

CW:23

Duly sworn on 11.11.2025

Name of the witness	Veena Naik
Father Name	Mohan
Age	38 years
Occupation	PI, Karnataka Lokayutha, Madikeri
Residence & Mobile Number	Madikeri

Examination-in-chief by Public Prosecutor:

1. From June 2019 to October 2020, I have worked as PSI,
Virajpet Rural Police station.

2. On 27.08.2020 I have received case file from CW.22/
Shivanna, ASI. I have issued memo to CW.17 and 18 to
trace the accused and produced before me.

3. On 28.08.2020 CW.17 and 18 at 4.30 pm, the accused was apprehended at Chikkagubbi, Bengaluru and produced before me on 29.08.2020 at 5.30 am with report of CW.17/K P Ramesh. Now I see the report, marked as **Ex.P.18, 18(a)** is my signature.

4. I have arrested the accused by following arrest procedure. I have recorded voluntary statement of accused between 6.00 am to 7.00 am. In his voluntary statement, he has admitted that he has committed the offence and he will produce the knife used as a weapon if accompanied him. Which was thrown in the estate. The said portion of the statement, marked as **Ex.P.19, 19(a)** is my signature. **Ex.P.19(b)** is signature of accused.

5. On the basis of voluntary statement of accused, he has taken us to Ammathi Village near the estate of K T Devaiah. I have secured panchas PW.7 and CW.13 to the

spot. I have briefed them about the facts of the case and the statement given by the accused. I have issued notice to them at Ex.P.9. **Ex.P.9(b)** is my signature.

6. The accused has searched the estate of K T Devaiah, situated by the side of CW.1, he has produced the knife used for commission of offence. The knife was seized in presence of panchas and wrapped in the white cloth and sealed in 'S' letter. Mahazar was conducted in this regard at Ex.P.8 between 8.30 am to 9.45 am. My signature is marked as **Ex.P.8(b)**. Now I see the knife and identify, it is already marked as MO.1.

7. After returned to station with accused and seized property, the property was subjected to PF No.106/2020 and submitted to Court. Property form is marked as **Ex.P.20, 20(a)** is my signature. At the time of mahazar the photographs were also taken. Accused was subjected to

medical examination and produced before the court with remand application.

8. On 01.09.2020 I have received wound certificate of CW.1 at Ex.P.15. On 05.09.2020 I have requested Medical Officer at Ex.P.17 to examine MO.1 and to give opinion whether the injury is possible with weapon. **Ex.P.17(e)** is my signature. After examination of weapon PW.9 has given opinion on 07.09.2020 at Ex.P.17(a) stating that injury mentioned in Ex.P.15 can be caused with MO.1. My signature is marked as **Ex.P.17(f)**.
9. On 29.10.2020 I have recorded further statement of CW.1, stating that he married accused in a temple at Tamilnadu. She has produced photos with accused. The two photographs are marked as **Ex.P.21 and 22**. She has also stated during her marriage in the temple at Tamilnadu with accused PW.5, 6, CW.10 and 8 had attended her marriage.

10. On 04.10.2020 I have received FSL report from RFSL which was submitted by CW.22. Now I see the FSL report with sample seal and cloths MO.2 and 3. The FSL report is marked as **Ex.P.23, 23(a)** is my signature. Sample seal is marked as **Ex.P.24**. Now I see the injuries cloths received from RFSL which are already marked as MO.2 and 3.

11. As per the RFSL report the blood stains found on MO.2 and 3 is blood group 'A'. On 25.09.2020 I have received 65B certificate in respect of photos taken during investigation from CW.20. The certificate is marked as **Ex.P.25, 25(a)** is my signature. Since, MO.1 knife was recovered 25 days after the incident, during rainy season it was seized, and it was rusted as such it was not sent to RFSL as no blood stains were found. On 18.10.2024 completion of Investigation, I have submitted chargesheet

against the accused. Now I see the accused through video conference and identify him.

Cross Examination: Sri.KCP standing counsel for accused.

12. During my investigation, I came to know the accused was working as a driver in Bengaluru and visit Ammathi to the house of CW.1. It is not true that the accused was not apprehended at Bengaluru and he was very much available in Ammathi. It is not true that accused was not given voluntary statement at Ex.P.19 and it is created by me.

13. It is true that MO.1 is a vegetable cutting knife. It is not true that accused on the based on alleged voluntary statement was not led us to estate of Devaiah and in presence of witness he has not produced MO.1. It is not true that CW.1 and PW.6 Ganesh were not divorced. I have not obtained any divorce documents, no impediment to take them. It is not true that they were no divorced, and

created that the accused has married CW.1 at temple in Tamilnadu.

14. It is true in Ex.P.15 wound certificate the injury is described as simple in nature. It is not true that the injury in simple in nature, section 307 of IPC is not attracted to file chargesheet. It is not true that without proper verification of record and submitted chargesheet. It is not true that the mahazars are created for the purpose of the case. It is not true that the accused has not committed any offence, I being women Police Officer to help CW.1 women, submitted chargesheet.

Re- Examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

Sd/-

II Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Kodagu-Madikeri(Sitting at Virajpet)