

IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDL.DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
KODAGU-MADIKERI (SITTING AT VIRAJPET)

S.C. 5009/2021

PW:1

CW:3

Duly sworn on 06.08.2025

Name of the witness	Appanna K A
Father Name	K T Aiyappa
Age	51 years
Occupation	Manager, Kodava Samaja, Ammathi
Residence & Mobile Number	Ammathi

Examination-in-chief by Public Prosecutor:

1. I know CW.1 and 2, they are mother and daughter. They were my tenants. My house is situated at Ammathi Village. I know accused present before the Court, he often visits the house of CW.1.

2. About 5 years back, at about 10.00 AM I was in my house at Ammathi. CW.1 rented house is situated behind my house, I heard screaming sound. Immediately, I rushed to

the house of CW.1. CW.1 was in hall, there was bleeding on her neck. CW.2 was also present, tying cloth to the injury of CW.1. When I asked CW.1, she told that the accused stabbed with knife to her neck and caused injuries. I told her to inform the Police, she told that it is a family matter, she is not intended to inform the Police. The accused was not present when I entered the CW.1 house, he has ran away. I had not seen that the accused was holding knife in his hand, when I entered the house of CW.1. I have not given statement in this regard.

3. Sealed article is open before the Court, I have not seen the knife now show to me. For identification purpose knife is marked as **MO.1**. On same around 12.00 noon, Police came there took CW.1 to the hospital. The two photographs now shown to me, CW.1 is appearing with injury to her neck. The same are marked as **Ex.P.1 and 2**. Two photographs now shown to me one is the house of

CW.1 is appearing, the another photo CW.2 is showing the place of incident to the Police. Both photos are marked as **Ex.P.3 and 4** respectively.

(At the stage, learned PP seeks to declare witness as partly hostile, permitted)

Cross Examination: Learned Public Prosector.

4. It is true on 06.08.2020 the incident was took place. It is incorrect to suggest that when I had visited the house of CW.1, the accused was holding MO.1 in his hand, thereafter he ran away from the spot. It is incorrect to suggest that I had given statement in this regard now read over to me. The portion of said statement is marked as **Ex.P.5.**

Cross Examination: Sri.KCP standing counsel for accused.

5. I had given the house on rent to CW.1/Rani. At the time of giving house on rent to CW.1, her husband was not present. The husband of CW.1 is Ganesh @ Thirumalesh.

Que: The accused is called as Thirumalesh not as Ganesh?

Ans: CW.1 told me that, her husband name is Ganesh.

6. I had not seen the Ganesh. I say Thirumalesh was called as Ganesh. I do not know CW.1 had married to Ganesh of Shivakeri. I had executed rental document in favour of CW.1 in the style of 'form'. The said form has not given to Police. CW.1 screamed loudly, but not by calling anybody name. I have not given to Police.

Re- Examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

Sd/-

II Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Kodagu-Madikeri(Sitting at Virajpet)