

Witness recalled and duly sworn on 07.11.2025

Further Chief Examination: Sri.MPT advocate for petitioner

1. I am the petitioner in this case, I am filing affidavit in lieu of chief examination evidence, the contents of affidavit are true and correct. I have signed the affidavit, I have produced the documents prays to mark the same.

Ex.P.1	Certified copy FIR No.168/2016 with complaint (four pages)
Ex.P.2	Certified copy of chargesheet (six pages)
Ex.P.3	Certified copy of spot mahazar (three pages)
Ex.P.4	Certified copy of wound certificate
Ex.P.5	Medical certificate
Ex.P.6	Death certificate of my husband
Ex.P.7	Ration card of my family

Cross Examination: Sri.AB Advocate for respondent No.3

2. It is not true that my husband had met with an accident while doing trial in the work shop. It is not true that the accident was occurred while driving Maruthi-800 Car of work shop as a trial. I do not know my husband had license to drive the car or not. My husband was doing coolie work.

It is not true that my husband while doing compound work had come on road, as a result accident was occurred.

3. After accident my husband had taken treatment in Wenlock hospital. After accident my husband was not speaking. I have not produced medical documents of my husband. My husband had met with an accident in the year 2016. In between accident and death, two times he had taken treatment in Wenlock Hospital, Mangaluru and Raghavendra Hospital, Siddapura. It is not true that in the medical certificate it is not stated that my husband had died due to road traffic accident injuries.
4. My husband had blood pressure. It is not true that due to BP my husband had kidney problem. My mother in law Selvamani was died. It is not true that my husband did not had Rs.12,000/- per month. My husband was died on 2018.

5. Petitioner No.2 is working. I am working as house maid. it is not true that there is no nexus to the injuries suffered in the accident and to death. My husband died in the house. Before death my husband has not taken treatment in the hospital. It is not true that we had not dependent on income of my husband.

Re-examination:NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

Sd/-

II Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Kodagu-Madikeri(Sitting at Virajpet)