

**IN THE COURT OF THE SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE**  
**AT MADIKERI**  
**O.S.No.06/2022**

**Plaintiff/s** : Sangeetha Thimmaiah Chenanda

-Vs-

**Defendant/s** : Sonia Ganapathy and others

**:: ISSUES ::**

1. Whether the plaintiff proves that, the plaint "A" and "B" schedule properties are the joint family properties of herself and the defendant No.1?
2. Whether the defendant No.1 proves that, she has paid Rs.6,00,274.25/-, Rs.3,82,780.25 and Rs.43,64,192/- by a demand draft dated 19.06.2021 and 18.06.2021 to plaintiff as averred in para 5 of the written statement?
3. Whether the defendant No.1 proves that, by virtue of sale deeds dated 26.05.2017 and 21.06.2018 the defendants No. 2 and 4 and Late P.S.Ganapathy i.e., father of plaintiff and defendant No.1 jointly sold the item No.19 of the plaint "A" schedule property as averred in para 9 of the written statement?
4. Whether the defendant No.1 proves that, Late P.S.Ganapathy executed a Will dated 19.06.2018 in favour of her and plaintiff and bequeathed all his undivided right, title and interest over the "A" schedule properties as averred in para 13 of the written statement?
5. Whether the defendant No.1 proves that, by virtue of Will dated 19.06.2018 she is entitled to receive 1/3rd share in item No.1, 1/2nd share in item No.2 and 3,

2/3rd share in item No.4, 1/6th share in item No.5 of the “B” schedule properties as averred in para 14 to 17 of the written statement?

6. Whether the defendant No.4 proves that, he is the absolute owner in possession of the items No.11, 14 to 18 of plaint “A” schedule properties as averred in para 6 to 8 of the written statement?

7. Whether the defendant No.4 proves that, by virtue of sale deed dated 29.10.2021 he has sold item No.14 to 15 of plaint “A” schedule properties to Kekada A Nanda as averred in para 9 of the written statement?

8. Whether the suit is bad for partial partition as averred in para-11 of the written statement?

9. Whether the suit is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties?

10. Whether the plaintiff proves that, she is having 1/8th (one-eighth) share in “A” schedule and ½ (one-half) share in “B” schedule properties?

11. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for the reliefs as sought for in the plaint?

12. Whether the defendant No.1 is entitled for the reliefs as sought for in the counter-claim?

13. What order or decree?

(Issues framed & pronounced in open court on 02.08.2024)

Sd/-

(N.B.Jayalakshmi)  
Senior Civil judge and CJM,  
Kodagu-Madikeri.