

**Orders on IA No.2 U/O 32 Rule 3 of CPC**

The appellants have filed this application U/O 32 Rule 3 of CPC and sought to appoint respondent No.2 as minor guardian of respondent No.1.

2. The appellant No.3 has sworn to an affidavit in support of IA and stated that respondent NO.1 is minor and respondent No.2 is his mother and she has not adverse interest against the minor. Hence, prays to allow the I.A.

3. The respondent No.2 has not filed objections to I.A.

4. Perused the records. Heard arguments.

5. On perusal of the records this court find that respondent No.1 is minor and respondent NO.2 is his mother. Therefore it is clear that the respondent No.2 being mother of respondent No.1 is not having any adverse interest to that of her mainor son. Moreover, in the absence of father mother is the natural guardian of her minor son. Therefore, there is no impediment to allow the I.A. Hence, the following;

**ORDER**

The application filed by the appellants U/O 32 Rule 3 of CPC is hereby allowed.

The respondent No.2 is appointed minor guardian of respondent No.1 to conduct proceedings of this appeal.

**Senior Civil Judge & JMFC, Sedam.**

