

**12.9.2024**

**Orders on IA No.6 U/O I Rule 10 of CPC**

The plaintiff has filed this application U/O 1 Rule 10 of CPC and sought to implead proposed defendants as defendants 9 & 10 in this suit.

**2.** The SPA holder of the plaintiff has sworn to an affidavit in support of IA and stated that the plaintiff has filed the suit for partition and separate possession. The proposed defendants are the necessary parties to the suit. Hence, prays to allow the IA.

**3.** After service of IA notice the proposed defendants have remained absent

**4.** Perused the records. Heard arguments.

**5.** The suit is one for the relief of partition and separate possession. On perusal of the records it is seen that the defendant No.1 in his written statement has contended that the plaintiff has not made proposed defendants as parties to the suit who are heirs of deceased Saibannareddy. The said contention goes to show that the proposed defendants are family members of the plaintiff and defendants. Therefore, they are proper and necessary parties to the suit. Hence, the following:

**ORDER**

The application filed by the plaintiff U/O I Rule 10 of CPC is hereby allowed.

The proposed defendants are added as defendant No.9 and 10 in this suit.

The plaintiff shall amend the plaint and furnish amended plaint.

For amendment and amended plaint call on 20.9.2024.

Senior Civil Judge & JMFC, Sedam.