

**IN THE COURT OF THE SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND
J.M.F.C AT SEDAM**

Original Suit No.22 of 2022

DATED THIS THE 29th DAY OF MAY-2024

PLAINTIFF:

Sanna Hanamanth

//Versus//

DEFENDANTS:

Eshwarappa & others

ISSUES

- 1. Whether the plaintiff proves that Ramakka D/o Narasappa married one person from Pakal village and separated from her husband and she was in extra marital with one Kashappa and due to the said relationship she gave birth to defendants 1 and 2 and the defendants 1 and 2 are illegitimate children of the said Ramakka and they are not part of the joint family of defendants 3 to 6?**
- 2. Whether the plaintiff proves that the plaintiff and defendants 3 to 6 are joint owners and possessors of the suit properties and defendants 1 and 2 being illegitimate children of the said Ramakka are not having any rights in the suit properties?**

- 3. Whether the defendant No.1(a to d) prove that their mother Ramakka married one Kashappa and due to their wedlock defendants 1 and 2 and a daughter by name Malkamma born to them?**

- 4. Whether the defendant No.1(a to d) prove that one Narasappa was the absolute owner of the suit properties and he gifted the suit item No.1 property in favour of his daughter Ramakka and handed over possession of the suit item No.1 property and accordingly mutation was sanctioned in her name on 20.01.1968 and accordingly she became owner of suit item No.1 property?**

- 5. Whether the defendant No.1(a to d) prove that subsequently the defendants got divided the said land and since then land measuring 6 acres 31 guntas is in possession of defendant No.1 and land measuring 6 acres 32 guntas is in possession of defendant No.2 and accordingly mutation was effected on 3.6.1994?**

- 6. Whether the suit of the plaintiff is barred by the law of limitation?**

- 7. Whether the defendant No.1(a to d) prove that the defendants 1 and 2 perfected their title to the suit item NO.1 property by way of adverse possession?**
- 8. Whether the defendant No.1(a to d) prove that in view of the dismissal of O.S.No.75/1998 and O.S.NO.106/2005 the rights of the plaintiff are extinguished and he is estopped from claiming partition in the suit properties?**
- 9. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for the reliefs prayed for?**
- 10. What order or decree?**

**Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Sedam.**