

144'f

IN THE COURT OF THE CIVIL JUDGE (SR.DN. AT: CHITTAPUR.

O.S.NO.148/11

Smt. Hampamma Vs. Venkangouda @ Venkatgouda & others.

Dated this the 6th day of June, 2012.

:: I S S U E S ::

1. Whether plaintiff proves that, all the suit schedule properties are the Hindu un-divided joint family properties of the plaintiff and defendants?
2. Whether plaintiff further proves that, herself alongwith all the defendants are in joint possession and enjoyment over the suit schedule property?
3. Whether defendants No.1 to 3 and 5 to 10 proves that, there was a oral partition held in between the defendants No.1 to 3 in the year 1985?
4. Whether defendants No.1 to 3 and defendants No.5 to 10 further proves that, plaintiff and defendant No.4 and defendants No.11 to 13 have no way concerned with the suit property?
5. Whether defendants No.1 to 3 and defendants No.5 to 10 further proves that, Vishwanathreddy, who is the father of the defendants No.12 and 13 and husband of defendant No.11 is no way concerned to the Sahebgouda, but he is the son of the Siddalingappagouda, who is the husband of plaintiff?
6. Whether defendants No.1 to 3 and 5 to 10 further proves that, plaintiff and defendant No.4 have orally relinquished their right and interest

in any of the suit property at the time of oral partition held in the year 1985?

7. Whether plaintiff is entitled her share? If entitled, what is her extent of share?
8. What order or decree?

Frank 06/6/2012
(D.P.Nayak)

Civil Judge (Sr. Dn.) Chittapur.

Additional issue no 1: Whether deft no 12 & 13 prove that, deft no 1 to 3, 4 hold the remaining joint family properties as mentioned at para 8 of D's.

Additional issue no 2: Whether the deft no 12 & 13 prove that, properties as shown at para 8 of D's are ancestral properties & they are entitled for 1/6th share in the said properties & separate possession.

Additional issue no 3: Whether the deft no 12 & 13 are entitled for counter claim as prayed for?

○
Civil Judge (Sr. Dn.) & JMFC.
CHITTAPUR.