

IN THE COURT OF THE SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND**JMFC, AT: CHINCHOLI.****MVC No.569/2021**

Name : Mahantesh Patil RW-1
Husband's Name : Bale Gowteda
Age : 45 years
Occupation : Police inspector, Traffic police station
Residing At : Gulbarga.

Witness duly sworn.

Date 04-04-2025.

Chief examination .

1. I am working as Police inspector in Traffic police station Kalaburagi. I have received summons from the court. I conducted investigation in Cr. No.100/2019 of Chincholi Police station. I have recorded the statement of witnessess by name Vasant and Lakshmikant on 10-11-2019. In his statement the Vasant has stated about the registration number of vehicle AP28TB6064. The PC by Gurushantappa was assigned to get the details of the vehicle and he has produced the driver of the vehicle before me. I seized the offending vehicle and conducted the Seizer Mahazar.

Cross Examination: Sri. VVC

1. It is true to suggest that FIR was lodged against unknown vehicle. It is true to suggest that the type of vehicle was not mentioned in complaint. It is true to suggest that on the phone call received from Vasant Itagi, Ravensiddapa Sangappa Konal had lodged the complaint before the police station. As per the complaint the accident occurred on 08-09-2019 at about 12:14AM 12:15A.M. The place of accident is Chincholi Tanda Main road. It is true that there are no houses or Hotels in the place of accident. It is true that I have not obtained the CCTV footages of the Surrounding places of the place of accident. I recorded the further statement of the complainant on 18-11-2019. It is true that after almost 2 months of accident I have recorded the further statement of complainant. It is true that in the further statement of complainant he has stated that on the say of officials he has given the statement. It is true that in the further statement of complainant it is not mentioned that Vasant and Lakshmikant accompanied the complainant to the police station. I have recorded the statement of Vasant and Lakshmikant on 10-11-2019. It is true that at the time of registering the complaint Vasant

has not stated about the registration number of the offending vehicle. It is true that there is 2 months 10 days gap for recording the statement of Vasant. It is true that I have not produced any documents to show the identification of the vehicle was done by Vasant. It is true that as per IMV report there is no visible damages to the vehicle. It is true to suggest that generally during the course of investigation after conducting the seizer mahajar we obtain photos of the seized vehicle. It is true to suggest that in this case I have not obtained photos of the seized vehicle. I have conducted seizer mahazar on 18-11-2019 from 10AM to 11AM in the presence of Panchas by name Lakshman S/o Badhu Jadhav and Shivarj S/o Shambhuling. It is true to suggest that Lakshman S/o Badhu Jadhav and Shivarj S/o Shambhuling are not the eye witness.

2. It is true to suggest that I have not taken the statement of local persons present at Kalayan Mantapa. It is false to suggest that with an intention to help the petitioner I have falsely implicated the vehicle and without conducting proper investigation have submitted Charge sheet to the Court. It is true that in this case another person by name Raju was also injured and he died in the Hospital during the

course of treatment. It is true that in this case I have not obtained the documents of Raju to show that he has obtained treatment in the hospital prior to his death. It is true that my predecessor investigation officer has was not taken documents of Raju to show that he has obtained treatment in the hospital prior to his death.

Cross Examination: Sri. SSA

1. It is true that eye witness by name Vasant has stated about the vehicle in this statement. Witness identified the statement of Vasant S/o Karibasappa dated 10-11-2019 and the same is marked as Ex.P-13. It is true that Gurushant PC 659 has traced the vehicle and produced before me. It is true that owner of the offending vehicle has given statement before me accepting that he is the owner of the said vehicle. Witness identified the statement of owner of vehicle by name Mohammed Ismail S/o Abdul Rahim Dated 20-11-2019 and the same is marked as Ex.P-14. It is true that driver of the offending vehicle has given statement that he has caused the accident. Witness identified the statement of driver of vehicle by name Mohammed Musheak S/o Abdul Hamid Dated 18-11-2019 and the same is marked as Ex.P-15. It is true that on

the basis of statement of above witness I have submitted charge sheet against the accused.

Cross Examination: Sri. VVC

1. It is true that almost after 70 days I have recorded the statements as per Exhibit P13 and 14 of owner and driver of offending vehicle. It is true that prior to recording of these statements none of the persons have reported to me about the registration No. of the vehicle.

(Typed to my dictation in the Open court)

R.O.A.O.C.

**Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Chincholi.**