

State Vs. Sudhir BA-1394-2026

Present: Mr. Deepak Chahal, APP for the State.
 Ms. Kamini Chopra, Advocate for accused Sudhir.

Reply to bail application received. Arguments heard. Hence, taking a lenient view, notice under section 446 Cr.P.C served upon the accused. Accused has pleaded guilty to the notice and begged for pardon. Heard. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances, I impose penalty to the tune of Rs. 2000/- upon accused. **Fine not deposited. Notice under Section 446 Cr.P.C be initiated at the time of furnishing bail bonds of accused.**

No useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused in custody as trial of the case shall take long time. Hence, without commenting on the merits of the case, accused is re-admitted to bail on furnishing of bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety in the like amount. **Requisite bail bonds not furnished.**

Therefore, in view of the order dated 31.01.2023, passed by Hon'ble Apex Court in SMWP (criminal) No. 4/2021, in RE Policy Strategy for grant of bail Vs. Mr. Gaurav Aggarwal, Advocate Curine, copy of this order be sent to Superintendent, concerned Jail authorities through e-mail for requisite compliance.

Now, case file be put up on 15.07.2026, date already fixed.

(Mohammad Sageer)
ACJ(SD)/CJM/RC, Rohtak
(UID No. HR0381)
10.07.2026

Sunny Pruthi