

CPC.

1.	Provision under which the application is filed	Order 1 Rule 10 of CPC
2.	Relief sought for	For impleading of party
3.	The date on which the application is filed	17-11-2025
4.	Number of the application	I.A.No-3
5.	The date on which the objections are filed by different opponents	Nil
6.	The date on which the orders were passed on the said application	05-03-2026.

The Third party has filed the present application of impleading him as the defendant No-4 in the present suit.

2. The applicant has contended that, the plaintiff has filed the present suit for the relief of partition and separate possession against the defendants. The applicant has got interest over the suit properties. The applicant is the

purchaser of the property. The applicant has paid Rs.12,50,000/- and got an agreement of sale in his favour. The applicant is in possession of the suit property bearing survey number 45/5 measuring 2 acre 33 gunta of land. On the said material grounds the applicant has prayed for allowing the present application.

3. The plaintiff has not filed any objections to the present application.

4. Heard the arguments.

5. Perused the records the plaintiff has filed the present suit for the relief of partition and separate possession against the defendants. The applicant has produced the agreement of sale document dated 18-08-2025. The present suit is filed on 30-06-2025. The document produced by the applicant shows that, the defendant No-1 has executed an agreement of sale in favour of the applicant for sale of the suit property measuring 1 acre 27 gunta of land out of survey number 45/5 measuring 2 acre 33 gunta of land for Rs.12,50,000/-. On

perusal of the materials on record it appears that, the defendant No-1 appears to be executed agreement of sale document after filing the present suit. The agreement of sale document does not create any right in the suit properties during the pendency of the present suit. Hence, on considering the materials on record it appears that, the presence of the proposed party is not necessary in the present suit. Hence, I am of the opinion that, the applicant is not a necessary party in the present suit. Hence, I am of the opinion that, the applicant has not made out grounds for allowing the present application. Hence, the present application is required to rejected.

Hence, the I.A.No-3 filed by the third party applicant is hereby rejected.

Senior Civil Judge and JMFC,

Afzalpur.