

06.08.2024 - O.S.No.16-2016

Counsel for defendants submitted the issue No.2 is wrongly framed and the burden to prove said issue is on defendants instead of plaintiff. On the other hand, the counsel for plaintiff submitted it is only a typographical error. Hence it may be corrected and he has no objection for that.

On perusal of the records, it reveals that through over sight there is a typographical error in issue No.2, hence it is necessary to correct the same accordingly, issue No.2 is corrected.

Heard arguments from both counsels.

For judgment
Call on 22.08.2024.

**Addl.Civil Judge & JMFC
Aland**