

State Vs. Harsh

Present : Sh. Rakshit Kumar, Ld. APP for the State.
Child-in-conflict with law namely Hxxxx in person (on police bail), represented by Sh. Sanjay Bhore, Advocate. (POA filed)

In pursuance of notice, child-in-conflict with law namely Hxxxx produced by the investigating officer before the Board. Simultaneously, Ld. Counsel has been filed an application for bail on behalf of child-in-conflict with law namely Hxxxx. Reply to the bail application not filed. Arguments heard on bail application. Perusal of the case file reveals that trial of the enquiry is likely to considerable take long time and moreover, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind the protective custody, therefore, above-named child-in-conflict with law has been ordered to be released on bail on furnishing guardian bonds in sum of Rs. 50,000/- by his guardian with one surety in the like amount. Requisite bail bonds furnished, which are accepted and attested.

At this stage, copy of challan supplied to the accused free of cost as envisaged under Section 207 Cr.P.C.

Arguments heard on notice of accusation. After hearing rival contentions of learned counsel for both sides and going through case file very carefully and minutely, this Board is of the considered view that the final report clearly shows a strong prima facie case against the child-in-conflict under Section 160 IPC. Therefore, notice of accusation duly served upon him to which he has not admitted his mistake and claimed detail enquiry. Now, the case is adjourned to **02.11.2022** for prosecution evidence. Notice to PW's be issued accordingly.

Date of Order: 21.10.2022
'Deepak, Stenographer'

(Indu Bala)
JMIC, Jhajjar,
UID No.HR0322