

Present : Sh. Rakesh Sanghi, Advocate for applicant/petitioner.
Sh. S.N. Swami, Advocate for respondent No.1.
Sh. Bhupender Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.
Ms. Meenu Yadav, Advocate for respondent No.3.
Learned GP for respondent No.4 assisted by Sh. Pradeep,
Clerk, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Narnaul.

Today the case is listed for filing replication, if any, otherwise for framing of issues.

Replication is not filed. Parties are apprised to opt for either mode of alternate dispute resolution/settlement outside the court as specified in sub-Section (1) of Section 89 CPC. But the parties stated that their dispute is beyond any possibility of compromise and they do not want to opt for any alternative mode. Arguments on issues advanced. From the pleadings of the parties and perusal of the documents, following issues are framed:-

1. Whether respondents have intentionally and willfully violated order dated 18.10.2022 passed by the Court? OPA
2. Whether present contempt petition is not maintainable? OPR.
3. Whether the petitioners have concealed true and material facts from the Court?OPR
4. Relief.

No other issue arises or pressed either by counsel for the parties. Onus of proof not objected to. Both the parties are directed to file the list of witnesses, PF, DM within 15 days, otherwise, parties shall lead their evidence at own responsibility. Now to come upon **22.09.2025** for entire evidence of applicant/petitioner at own responsibility.

Date of Order : 01.05.2025

Pankaj Kumar SG-III

(Jetin Gujral)
CJ(SD), Narnaul.
UID No. HR-0327