

Jagtar Singh Versus Paramjit Kaur

Present: Sh. Insaf Singh, Advocate for plaintiff.
Sh. PK Dutt, Advocate for defendant.

Cost has not been paid. The witness is present along with the cost. However, learned counsel for the defendant appeared before lunch break and stated at the Bar that he would accept the cost after lunch break and would also cross-examine the said witness. However, after lunch break, learned counsel for the defendant did not appear to accept the cost or to cross-examine the said witness. It is already 03:50 PM and no further wait is justified. It can, therefore, be presumed that learned counsel for the defendant is no longer interested in cross-examining the aforesaid witness. Accordingly, the opportunity granted to the counsel for defendant to cross-examine the said witness is treated as **nil**.

Issues in the present case were framed on 30.01.2023. Since then, no list of witnesses has been filed by the plaintiff. The plaintiff has merely examined himself and no affidavit of any other witness has been placed on record. Accordingly, the evidence of the plaintiff stands closed. Now, to come up on 23.08.2026 for defence evidence.

Dated: 10.08.2026
Aman/Steno-III

(Gurdev Singh)
Civil Judge, Junior Division,
Ludhiana.(UID PB-0514)

Present: None for the plaintiff.
Sh. PK Dutt, Advocate in person.

File taken up again at about 04:10 PM.

Learned counsel for the defendant appeared and stated at the Bar that he is ready to cross-examine the witness and accept the cost. However, when this Court apprised him that his cross-examination had already been treated as nil and that the cost would be paid to him on the next date of hearing, he started shouting that it was the plaintiff who was not coming to this Court for his cross-examination. But, the opportunity given to him has been treated as nil by this Court.

This Court then told him that it was he or his junior who had stated before this Court before lunch break that he would come present after lunch break to cross-examine the witness. However, by 03:50 PM, when he did not come present, this Court thought that he was no more interested to cross-examine the witness, so the aforesaid order was passed. Then he again started shouting that this Court is doing injustice to him.

This Court politely told him that this Court is not doing any injustice to anyone. If he was late in coming before this Court, upon which, he could have sent his junior or Clerk to apprise this Court about the situation. But he kept on shouting that he would move a complaint to the higher authorities against this Court, to which this Court replied that he is at liberty to move any complaint before the higher authorities and that this Court would reply to the higher authorities and also asked him to calm down and not to give bullying gestures to this Court. But he again shouted that he would take appropriate action against this Court.

Though his conduct is contemptuous and requires to be reported to the Hon'ble High Court, this Court, however, keeping in view that relation between the Bar and the Bench should remain cordial and is not inclined to report the matter to the Hon'ble High Court, right now but recording this zimni for record purpose.

Dated: 10.08.2026
Aman/Steno-III

(Gurdev Singh)
Civil Judge, Junior Division,
Ludhiana.(UID PB-0514)

CNR No: PBLD02-001374-2021 CASE No: CS-1023-2021

Jagtar Singh Versus Paramjit Kaur

Present: None for the plaintiff.
Sh. PK Dutt, Advocate in person.

At about 04:35 PM, learned counsel for the defendant moved an application for recalling the order dated 10.08.2026. Let, same be put up with the main file on the date fixed.

Dated: 10.08.2026

Aman/Steno-III

(Gurdev Singh)
Civil Judge, Junior Division,
Ludhiana.(UID PB-0514)