

State Vs. Ritik @ Peter & Ors.
SC No. 01/2025
Case FIR No. 165/2024
U/S 3/4 of the Maharashtra Control of Organized Crime
Act, 1999 (MCOCA)
PS Crime Branch, Delhi.

09.07.2026

(Hybrid Hearing)

Present : Sh. Akhand Pratap Singh, Ld. Special PP for the State
along with Ms. Samridhi Dobhal, Id. Advocate for State.
ACP Bhagwati Prasad
Inspector Krishan Kumar
SI Narender
Accused Ritik @ Peter (A-1), Tihar Jail No. 1
(through VC).
**Mr. Mukul Vats, Ld. Counsel for A1, A2, A3, A5,
A6 and A7 (through VC).**
Accused Rohit @ Anna (A-2), Tihar Jail No. 3
(through VC).
Accused Sachin Chikkara (A-3), Tihar Jail No. 1
(through VC).
Accused Naresh Balyan (A-4), Mandoli Jail No. 14
(through VC).
**Mr. Vivek Jain and Mr. Sadiq Noor, Id. Counsels
for A-4.**
Accused Vijay Gahlot @ Kalu (A-5), Tihar Jail No. 3
(through VC).
Accused Sahil @ Poli (A-6), Tihar Jail No. 1
(through VC).
Accused Jyoti Prakash @ Baba (A-7), Mandoli Jail No.
15 (through VC).
Accused Vikas Gahlot (A-8), Tihar Jail No. 3 (through
VC).
**Mr. Tanzeem Husain along with Mr. Mohib, Id.
Counsels for accused Vikas Gahlot and Veenita
(through VC).**
Accused Veenita (A-9) (through VC).

Accused Amar @ Amardeep Lochab (A-10) (through VC).

Mr. D.K. Sharma along with Mr. Rishabh and Mr. Viral Vaibhav, ld. Counsels for accused Amar @ Amardeep Lochab (through VC).

Accused Kapil Sangwan @ Nandu (A-11) (**declared proclaimed offender vide order dated 15.05.2025**)

Accused Manoj Yadav @ Kaira (A-12), Central Jail no. 8/9, Tihar (through VC).

Mr. Ronit Bose, ld. Counsel for accused Manoj Yadav @ Kaira (through VC).

Mr. Vedant Kulshrestha, ld. counsel for Umed Singh (through VC).

1. A report has been filed with respect to the execution of the second NBWs against absconding accused Kapil Sangwan @ Nandu and regarding compliance with the other requirements under section 356 (2) BNSS in terms of the previous order.
2. It is seen that the NBWs could not be executed and his house was found to be locked. It is also reported that the said accused has not been seen at the premises in question for a long time.
3. The other compliances including publication in national newspaper, information, being given to a relative and affixation regarding the commencement of the proceedings have also been done.
4. The court finds that the safeguards provided under section 356 (2) for trial of an absconding accused in absentia have been suitably addressed by the State.
5. There is no impediment to the furtherance of the proceedings by way of considering charge.
6. It is noted that accused Kapil Sangwan @ Nandu is not represented by any advocate. In terms of section 356 (3) BNSS, it is directed that a counsel be assigned by DLSA for representing the said accused.

7. Since all accused except one are in custody, the court deems it appropriate that submissions on charge are heard on a day to day basis from 27.07.2026 till 07.08.2026. For the present, it is directed that the prosecution shall conclude its arguments on 27.07.2026 and 28.07.2026. The accused persons may thereafter lead arguments on charge in any order subject to mutual convenience. However, in the alternative the ld. respective counsels shall be expected to advance arguments in accordance with the serial number of the accused.
8. List for arguments on charge from **27.07.2026 till 07.08.2026**.
9. Let a copy of this order be sent to ld. Secretary, DLSA, RADC, New Delhi.

Dr. Vishal Gogne
Special Judge [PC Act][CBI]-24
(MP/MLA cases), RADC),
New Delhi/09.07.2026