



In the Court of Sessions Judge, Jaunpur
Present : Sushil Kumar Shashi (H.J.S.)
Anticipatory Bail Application No. -561/2026
CNR No. UPJP010056842026

Sikandar S/o Achchhelal, R/o Sabarhad, P.S. Shahganj, District Jaunpur.

Versus

State of U.P.,

Mr. Tej Bahadur Singh, Advocate for the applicant.

D.G.C. (Crl.) for the State.

Crime No.- 246/2026
U/s- 318(2), 319(2), 338,
336(3), 340(2) BNS,
P.S.- Shahganj, District- Jaunpur.

30-07-2026

This Anticipatory Bail Application has been filed by the accused/applicant Sikandar, under Sections 318(2), 319(2), 338, 336(3), 340(2) BNS, Crime No. 246/2026, P.S. Shahganj, Jaunpur.

The prosecution story in brief is that the complainant Vinod Kumar lodged an FIR in P.S. Shahganj, Jaunpur alleging therein that in Letter No. ST-124-59/2026 dated 02.07.2026 received by registered post in the order of Mr. Vinay Chandra (Superintendent of Police), Letter No. 18F/PE-Dual Passport H2596194 issued date 26.12.2008, expiry date 25.12.2018, Applicant's name Sikandar, DOB 01.01.1980, father's name Achchhelal, mother's name Parana Devi, wife's name Sujata and Passport No. T2540072 issued date 19.07.2019, expiry date 18.07.2029, applicant's name Sikandar, DOB 20.03.1998, father's name Achchhelal, mother's name Sheela Devi, wife's name Sanju Devi, resident of Sabarhad Uttar Basti, P.S. Shahganj, Jaunpur. Received an inquiry regarding the issuance of multiple passports using forged documents. When he went for investigation, he found that the applicant's name Sikandar resident of Sabarhad Uttar Basti, Jaunpur. Upon investigation, it was found that the person is guilty of using forged document. His family member given Mobile No. 8104XXXX to contact Sikandar. Sikandar stated that two passports had been issued by mistake.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned DGC (Crl.) for the state and perused the materials available on record.

Learned counsel for the defence contended that accused/applicant is innocent and he been falsely implicated in this case. He is not involved in this case. The accused/applicant has no criminal history. FIR version is totally false and fabricated. He has anticipation to be arrested by the police concerned and prayed to be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

Learned D.G.C. (Crl.) has opposed the anticipatory bail application and alleged that accused/applicant took multiple passports using forged documents.

Heard and perused the materials available on record.

On perusal of record, it is clear that the accused/applicant is named in FIR and sufficient evidences are available on record. In FIR, it has been alleged that the accused took multiple passports using forged documents. From the perusal of case diary it is evident that complainant has supported the prosecution version in his statement u/s 180 BNSS. Regarding economic and social crime, in Case of **“Pratibha Manchanda Vs. State of Haryana, 2023 Live Law (SC) 514 INSC 612/2023 Criminal Appeal No. 1793/2023”** Hon’ble Supreme Court has held that the gravity of crimes, it’s impact on society and the need for an impartial and independent investigations should be considered at the time of disposal of a bail application. The investigation is still going on. Allegations against the accused/applicant is serious in nature.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the above mentioned case, seriousness of the allegation against the accused/applicant and cheating, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the accused/applicant.

Accordingly, this anticipatory bail of accused/applicant Sikandar, under Sections 318(2), 319(2), 338, 336(3), 340(2) BNS, Crime No. 246/2026, P.S. Shahganj, Jaunpur is rejected.

Date: 30.07.2026
Kajal Sahu/-

(Sushil Kumar Shashi)
J.O. Code:- UP 2001
Sessions Judge,
Jaunpur.