

83;Cc Ni Act 29378/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Daud Ahmad
84;Cc Ni Act 29379/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Kumari Madhu
85;Cc Ni Act 29380/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Rahul Shivram Barela
86;Cc Ni Act 29381/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Raju Lal Kumhar
87;Cc Ni Act 29382/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Hadmat Singh
88;Cc Ni Act 29383/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Rani
89;Cc Ni Act 29384/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Mithu Nath
90;Cc Ni Act 29385/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Ajay Damor
91;Cc Ni Act 29386/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Radheshyam
92;Cc Ni Act 29387/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Dharup Adiwasi
93;Cc Ni Act 29388/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Jagdish Gurjar
94;Cc Ni Act 29389/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Anita
95;Cc Ni Act 29390/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Amit Sharma
96;Cc Ni Act 29391/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Nurmohammad Shaikh Hasan

09.04.2026

Fresh case received by way of assignment through e-filing. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rohan Talwar, Ld. counsel for complainant.

Physical file submitted.

This complaint is filed U/s. 25 r/w Section 27 of the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, 2007. It is alleged in the complaint that the accused had issued the NACH mandate in favour of complainant in discharge of his liability. On presentation, the NACH mandate was returned dishonored. Thereafter, the complainant made the demand for the payment of the NACH mandate amount by giving the statutory notice. The accused failed to make the payment within the prescribed period of 15 days from the receipt of the demand notice. Complaint perused. Documents perused.

Prima-facie, there is sufficient material to proceed further. Accordingly, this Court takes cognizance of the offence U/s. 25 r/w Section 27 of the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, 2007.

SIDDHANT
KUMAR
Digitally signed
by SIDDHANT
KUMAR
Date:
2026.04.09
16:41:35
+0530

The complainant/AR of complainant has also filed his evidence by way of affidavit **Ex.CW-1/A** to prove the averments made in the complaint. It has led PSE by tendering the affidavit. The complainant/AR of complainant has reiterated the facts as averred in the complaint. Further, the complainant/AR of complainant states that the complainant does not wish to lead any further PSE. Thus, pre-summoning evidence on behalf of complainant is closed.

I have heard arguments on point of summoning and perused the complaint, evidence by way of affidavit, bank memo, NACH mandate, demand notice and other documents on record. Prima facie the complaint is within limitation. In view of the averments made in the complaint, this Court has territorial jurisdiction to entertain this complaint. No other offence other than U/s. 25 r/w Section 27 of the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, 2007 is made out against the accused person(s).

Perusal of the record shows that the accused is the individual. Let summons be issued to accused at the given address(es) through all permitted modes including dasti on filing of PF within 90 days, returnable **11.11.2027**.

Complainant is directed to file tracking report and affidavit of service on NDOH.

SIDDHANT
KUMAR
Digitally
signed by
SIDDHANT
KUMAR
Date:
2026.04.09
16:41:40
+0530

(Siddhant Kumar)
JMFC (NI Act)-05/PHC/NDD
09.04.2026