



IN THE COURT OF THE CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC.,
AT HANGAL

Present: Smt.Aishwarya Chidanand Pattanshetti, B.COM, LL.M.,
Civil Judge and JMFC., Hangal.

ORIGINAL SUIT No.102 OF 2026

DATED THIS THE 1st DAY OF AUGUST - 2026

Plaintiff	Sri.Deshapande.L.N. S/o Ganapathirao Age: 60 years, Occ: Agriculturist and Private job, R/o: Ramkumar Meals Quarters, Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-01
	(By Sri.R.U.Pujar - Adv.,)

V/s.

Defendant	The Tahasildhar, Hangal Town, Tq: Hangal, Dist: Haveri.
	(Exparte)

Date of institution of the suit	: 23-02-2026
Nature of the suit	: For Mandatory Injunction
Date of commencement of recording the evidence	: 20-06-2026



Date of pronouncing the Judgment	:	01-08-2026
Duration of the suit	:	Year/s Month/s Day/s 00 05 09

(Smt.Aishwarya Chidanand Pattanshetti)
Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Hangal.

JUDGMENT

The plaintiff has filed this suit against the defendant for the relief of Mandatory Injunction and such other reliefs this court deems fit to grant in the circumstances of the case.

2. The brief facts of the plaintiff's case are as follows:-

(a). It is pleaded that, the suit schedule property bearing R.Sy.No.28/1 totally measuring 167 acres and 37 guntas and out of the said measurement, 17 acres 8 guntas measured and entered in the name of plaintiff in the RTC records and in the said property measuring 17 acres 8 guntas the names of the plaintiff's uncles are also entered.

(b) After the death of the father of the plaintiff, the name of the plaintiff was entered as "Laxminarayana Ganapathi". But, by birth the plaintiff is residing in the Bengaluru city and



as such, he converted his name as “Deshpande.L.N” instead of “Laxmi Narayana Deshpande” in all educational and other records. Till today the name of “Deshpande.L.N” is continued in school records, Aadhaar card, PAN card and other documents. Therefore, the name of the plaintiff is entered in school records and current records as “Deshpande.L.N”.

(c) Therefore, the documents with respect to R.Sy.No.28/1 measuring 167 acres 32 guntas of Laxmipura village of Hangal Hobali as per partition of the properties, there a mistake has crypt in the records and wrongly entered the name as “Laxmi Narayana Deshpande” instead of “Deshpande.L.N” and also executed D.No.215 to that effect.

(d) As such, in the RTC only the name of the plaintiff “Laxmi Narayana Deshpande” is wrongly entered but other documents like bank loans, crop insurance and other legal documents are entered in the name of “Deshpande.L.N” and as such, there is a necessity to cancel or reject the D.No.215 executed in favour of the plaintiff and to correct the name of the plaintiff which was granted by the Tahasildhar, Hangal and the plaintiff is required to file RTS case before the Deputy Commissioner to correct the mistake.



(e) Thereafter, to enter correct name of the plaintiff in the RTC, there is a requirement of order from the competent civil court and the application was returned along with endorsement. As such, it is necessary to enter correct name as “Deshpande. L.N” instead of “Laxmi Narayana Deshpande” wrongly entered in the RTC document. On these grounds, the plaintiff has filed this suit for Mandatory Injunction seeking correction of his name in the revenue records.

3. After presentation of the plaint the suit was registered and suit summons was issued to the defendant. In spite service of notice, the defendant remained absent and consequently placed exparte.

4. The points that would arise for the consideration of this Court are as follows:-

POINTS

- 1. Whether the plaintiff proves that his actual and correct name is “Deshpande.L.N”?***
- 2. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for relief as sought for?***
- 3. What order or decree?***

5. In order to prove the case, the plaintiff has examined himself as PW-1 and got marked Ex.P1 to Ex.P4 documents. As the defendant has been placed as exparte the cross



examination of PW-1 was taken as Nil. And as there was no further plaintiff evidence the matter was posted for arguments.

6. Heard the arguments of plaintiff's counsel and also perused an oral and documentary evidence available on record.

7. The findings of this Court on aforesaid points are as follows:-

Point No.1: In the Affirmative

Point No.2: In the Partly Affirmative

Point No.3: As per final order

for following:-

REASONS

8. **Point No.1:-** As indicated earlier, the plaintiff has filed this suit against the defendant for the relief of mandatory injunction to direct the concerned defendant to cause to enter correct or actual name of the plaintiff as "Deshpande.L.N" in the RTC and revenue records of the plaintiff.

9. The case of the plaintiff in toto is already narrated above. In proof of material facts asserted in the plaint, the plaintiff has filed her affidavit in lieu of chief examination U/O.VIII Rule 4 of CPC as PW.1 reiterating the plaint averments. In proof of an oral evidence, the PW-1 relied on Ex.P1 to Ex.P4 documents. Ex.P1 is the RTC of the year 2024



– 2025 pertaining to Sy.No.28/1 total measuring 167 acres 32 guntas out of which 17 acres 8 guntas is standing in the joint name of Sri.Laxmi Narayana Ganapati and others. Ex.P2 is the Endorsement dated 23.10.2025 given by the Assistant Commissioner, Savanur. Ex.P3 is the notarized copy of the Aadhar card of the plaintiff and Ex.P4 is the notarized copy of the Pan card of the plaintiff wherein the name of the plaintiff is mentioned as ‘Deshpande L.N’. And the name of the father of the plaintiff is mentioned as ‘Ganapathy Rao Deshpande’.

10. In the present suit there is no dispute that the name of the plaintiff is correctly entered in his Aadhar card and Pan card and it is very clear that in the revenue records the name of the plaintiff is wrongly mentioned as “Laxmi Narayana Deshpande” instead of “Deshpande L.N”. Further the defendant has remained absent before the Court and has been placed as exparte. In view of the same, an adverse inference can also be drawn that case set up by the plaintiff is true and correct. Accordingly, point No.1 is answered in the affirmative.

11. **Point No.2:-** The plaintiff has filed this suit against the defendant for the relief of mandatory injunction to direct the defendant to correct and rectify entry to that effect in the RTC, registers and revenue records of the plaintiff maintained by the defendant. It has to be borne in mind that there is no



mistake committed by the defendant in respect of the name of the plaintiff in the revenue records as the said entries have been made as per the information given by the plaintiff. As there is no mistake on the part of the defendant there is no order as to costs. In view of findings of facts and evidence on point No.1 in the affirmative, the plaintiff is certainly entitled for relief of mandatory injunction as sought for in the plaint. Accordingly, point No.2 is answered in the Partly Affirmative.

12. **Point No.3:-** In the light of aforesaid reasons and discussions, this Court has proceeded to pass following:-

ORDER

***The suit of the plaintiff is hereby
Partly decreed.***

***It is ordered by way of mandatory
injunction that the concerned
defendant's authority is hereby directed
to enter or substitute name of the
plaintiff as "Deshpande.L.N"
(ದೇಶಪಾಂಡೆ.ಎಲ್.ಎನ್)" in the place of
"Laxminarayana Ganapathi (ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿನಾರಾಯಣ
ಗಣಪತಿ)" in the record of rights and other
revenue records of the plaintiff
maintained by the defendant.***



***Since defendant is public authority,
no order as to costs.***

Draw decree accordingly.

(Dictated to Stenographer and directly typed by him on computer, once revised, corrected and later initialed by me and then pronounced by me in the open court on this the 1st day of August - 2026)

**(Smt.Aishwarya Chidanand Pattanshetti)
Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Hangal.**

ANNEXURE

LIST OF WITNESSES EXAMINED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:-

PW-1 : Deshpande.L.N. S/o Ganapathirao 20-06-2026

LIST OF DOCUMENTS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:-

Ex.P1 : RTC of Sy.No.28/1

Ex.P2 : Endorsement dtd 23.10.2025 of Assistant
Commissioner, Savanur

Ex.P3 : Notarized copy of Aadhar card

Ex.P4 : Notarized copy of Pan card

LIST OF WITNESSES EXAMINED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:-

----NIL-----

LIST OF DOCUMENTS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:-

----NIL-----

**(Smt.Aishwarya Chidanand Pattanshetti)
Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Hangal.**