

Witness recalled and duly sworn on : 17-01-2020

Cross examination by KBD the counsel for plaintiff :

It is true to suggest that, defendant No. 7 and 8 are residents of our village and belong to our community. I know the present suit which is filed by the plaintiff against the defendants. I know the original ancestor of the plaintiff by name Yallappa, he had two sons by name Tippanna and Fakkirappa. Out of them Defendant No. 9 and 10 are sons of deceased Tippanna. Like wise Fakkirappa died leaving behind defendants No. 1, 5 and 6. I have not seen document concerned to partition and held among Tippanna and Fakkirappa even between their children but I heard about partition held among them. It is not true to suggest that, I deposing false evidence as I have heard about the above said partition. It is not true to suggest that, there is no partition till today in between branches of the Tippanna and Fakkirappa. It is not true to suggest that, I am deposing false evidence as the suit schedule 1 A, H, I, K allotted in favour grand father of the plaintiff during

above said partition. It is not true to suggest that, though the above said suit properties were lying in the name of the plaintiffs grand father the suit properties jointly cultivated by the plaintiffs and defendant No.1 to 6.

2. It is not true to suggest that, defendant No. 1 has not got sole authority to sell the suit properties in favour of defendant No. 8. It is not true to suggest that, plaintiff and defendant No. 1 to 6 are having joint ownership over suit properties and cultivating jointly till today.

3. I never seen disputed above said sale deed executed by the defendant No.1 in favour if defendant No.8 but my father was the signatory and I heard above said fact from him. It is not true to suggest that, I am deposing false evidence as I came to know this fact by my father. Witness volunteers that, I have seen the my father signature in number of documents with respect to our family affairs, but I have not remember those documents. It is not true to suggest that, I am not able

to identify my father signature authorizedly even though at the instance of defendant No. 8 I am deposing false evidence.

Re-Examination : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court as per deposition of the witness)

R O I & A.C.

Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Hangal.

Signature of witness.