

Witness duly sworn on : 25.07.2023

Chief examination by Sri.KB Advocate for petitioner

This witness has filed in lieu of examination in chief and on oath he has submitted that the contents of the affidavit are true and correct to the best of his knowledge.

List of exhibits marked on behalf of petitioner.

Ex.P-16(a)	The witness identified his signature on Ex.P-16(a) marked.
------------	--

Cross Examination by Sri. BVP for Respondent No.3:

It is true to suggest that, I have not treated the petitioner. I have verified the wound certificate issued by the VIMS Hospital, Ballari. As per records initially the petitioner has taken the treatment at VIMS Hospital, Ballari. It is true to suggest that, as per Ex.P-10 wound certificate injury No.3 is simple and remaining injuries are grievous in nature. It is true to suggest that, as per Ex.P-14 discharge summery the petitioner has sustained head injury and injury to left lower limb. It is true to suggest that, at the time of issuing the disability certificate I have also verified Ex.P-14 discharge summery. It is true to suggest that, as per Ex.P-14 the petitioner has been treated with surgically for heard injury and fractures. The psychical examination mentioned in Ex.P-14 is before surgery. It is true to suggest that, for deferent

injuries deferent doctors specialized in particulate subject has been treated. The disability assessed by me is in respect of all the injuries sustained by the petitioner. The disability assessed is in respect of head injury, fractures of left lower limb and facio maxillary injury. It is true to suggest that, before the assessing the disability I have not taken the opinion of neurologist and dentist. It is true to suggest that, when more then one problem /one injury in order to asses the disability telescopic method is adopted. Facio maxillary is the lowest factor of assessment and orthopedic factor is of highest assessment. Facio maxillary disability adopted is 8% and orthopedic disability adopted is 20%. It is true to suggest that, stability component will be added as extra points. It is false to suggest that, if the above calculation method is adopted the percentage of disability to the whole body would be 20%. It is false to suggest that, the petitioner has completely recover from the head injury. It is false to suggest that, there is no persisting headache and vertigo problem. It is false to suggest that, the petitioner has got no neurological problem. It is false to suggest that, there is no loss grips strength of right hand as shown in the Ex.P-16. It is false to suggest that, there is no foot drop on the left leg. It is false to suggest that, Ex.P-16 I have false shown 2 c.m., of shortening of left leg. It is false to suggest that, westing of muscles and restriction of movements is post operation stage and not due to injuries. It is false to suggest that, all the fractures

MVC No : 838/2022
PW : 2

are properly untied without any deformity. It is false to suggest that, the petitioner can do is day to day activities without any difficulty as earlier. It is false to suggest that, I have not followed the medical guidelines in order to assess the disability. It is false to suggest that, I have shown exaggerated percentage of disability. It is false to suggest that, to substantiate Ex.P16 I am deposing false.

Re-examination : NIL .

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I & A.C.

II Addl.Sr.Civil Judge Ballari.