



**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. DISTRICT & SESSIONS
JUDGE, AT: HAVERI**

DATED THIS THE 21st DAY OF JULY, 2026

PRESENT: **Sri.Biradar Devindrappa N.,**
B.A., LL.B.,(Spl)
Prl. District & Sessions Judge,
Haveri.

CRL.MISC.No.422/2026

PETITIONERS:

1. Rajappa
S/o Yallappa Hungund,
Age 63 years, Occ: Agriculture,
 2. Manjunath
S/o Rajappa Hungund,
Age 35 years, Occ: Agriculture,
 3. Suneel
S/o Rajappa Hungund,
Age 31 years, Occ: Agriculture,
 4. Smt.Sudha
W/o Rajappa Hungund,
Age 55 years, Occ: Household work,
 5. Smt.Akshata
D/o Rajappa Hungund,
Age 29 years, Occ: Household work,
 6. Smt.Rukmini @ Navya
W/o Manjunath Hungund,
Age 25 years, Occ: Household work,
- All are R/o Hosakoppa, Taluk: Hanagal,
District: Haveri.

(By Sri.G.N.Gouda, Advocate)

Vs.

RESPONDENT:

The State of Karnataka,
Hanagal Police Station, Hanagal.

(By Public Prosecutor, Haveri)

ORDER ON PETITION U/SECTION 482 OF BNSS, 2023

Accused Nos.1 to 6 of Crime No.152/2026 of Hanagal Police Station, Hanagal, for the offences punishable under Sections 74, 115(2), 118(1), 189(2), 191(2), 329(4), 351(3) and 352 r/w 190 of BNS, 2023 have filed this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for anticipatory bail.

2. Above said case was registered against the accused Nos.1 to 6 for the above said offences on the basis of an information dated 01.07.2026 furnished by 38 years old lady and investigation was started. Hence, this petition.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor filed objection.

4. Heard both the sides and perused the records, thereafter, following points arise for determination:

1. Whether the petitioners accused Nos.1 to 6 are entitled to the anticipatory bail?
2. What order?

5. My findings to the above points are as under:

Point No.1 In the affirmative;

Point No.2 As per final order
for the following:

REASONS

6. **Point No.1:** Brief facts of prosecution case are that, on 29.06.2026, in RS No.132 of Ramatheertha, Hoskoppa village, Taluk Hanagal, within the limits of complainant's police station, belonging to the first informant, accused No.1 to 6 made criminal trespass, objected for construction of compound wall, pulled the first informant lady and another lady, assaulted them by hands, dragged and torn sarees in front of public, tried to outrage modesty, abused in filthy words and threatened. Hence, prosecution alleges the said offences.

7. Other facts are that, there is a civil dispute between petitioners and the first informant in respect of RS No.132 measuring 10 guntas. Said land was allotted to the family of husband of first informant by the decree of court, boundary was fixed and incident was taken place when compound was being constructed by first informant and others.

8. Now, petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 6 have filed this petition on the following grounds:

Petitioners are innocent and committed no offences but falsely implicated. They are permanent residents of the addresses shown in the cause title, having movable and immovable properties and family members are depending upon income of petitioners. They are ready and willing to abide by the terms and conditions and furnish surety. Petitioners filed a complaint against the complainant in Hangal PS Crime No.151/2026. Hence, it is prayed to allow the petition.

9. According to prosecution, alleged offences are heinous and punishable with imprisonment up to 05 years and fine or both, investigation is not yet completed, grounds made out are not acceptable, petitioners may threaten the witnesses, tamper with the evidence and abscond. Accordingly, it is prayed to reject the petition.

10. Copies of FIR and first information, case diary of this case and FIR and first information of Crime No.151/2026 are made available.

11. Particulars of the case are as under as per the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court issued in the judgment in the case of Zeba Khan Vs. State of U.P. and others, DD 11.02.2026 reported in 2026 SCC Online SC 188.

PARTICULARS

(A) CASE DETAILS:	
FIR Number and Date	152/2026 01.07.2026
Police Station District and State	Hanagal PS Haveri. Karnataka
Sections invoked	74, 115(2), 118(1), 189(2), 191(2), 329(4), 351(3) and 352 r/w 190 of BNS, 2023
Maximum punishment prescribed:	07 years
(B) CUSTODY & PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE	
Date of Arrest	--
Total period of custody undergone	--
(C) STATUS OF TRIAL	
Stage of proceedings(Investigation/ Charge-sheet/Cognizance/Framing of Charges/Trial	--
Total number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet	--
Number of prosecution witnesses examined	--
(D) CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS	
FIR No.& Police Station	--

Sections	
Status (Pending/ Acquitted/ Convicted)	--
(E) PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS	
Court	--
Case No.	--
Outcome of case	--
(F) COERCIVE PROCESSES	
Whether any Non-Bailable warrant was issued	--
Whether declared a proclaimed offender	--

12. There is a civil dispute between the parties. Nothing is there to hold that, life of injured is in danger. No case is made out to hold that, custodial interrogation of the petitioners accused is necessary. Grant of bail may not come in the way of free, fair and full investigation. Alleged offences are not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. No previous conviction of accused is proved. Accused may be prevented from causing threat to the witnesses, tampering the evidence and hampering the prosecution case by imposing conditions. Hence, petitioners accused are entitled to the anticipatory bail. Accordingly, point No.1 is answered in the affirmative.

13. **Point No.2:** For the foregoing reasons, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

Petition under Section 482 of BNSS., 2023 filed by accused Nos.1 to 6 of Crime No.152/2026 of Hanagal Police Station, Hanagal, for the offences punishable under Sections 74, 115(2), 118(1), 189(2), 191(2), 329(4), 351(3) and 352 r/w 190 of BNS, 2023 is allowed and they are granted bail subject to execution of personal bond for Rs.1,00,000/- each with a surety for like sum to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer with following conditions:-

1. They shall surrender before the Investigating Officer within ten days from this order and co-operate for investigation.
2. They shall not commit offence similar to the offence of which they are accused or any other offence.
3. They shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement or threat to any person acquainted with the facts of the case.
4. They shall not leave India till disposal of the case without prior permission of Trial Court and
5. They shall furnish all available address and ID proof documents.

(Dictated to the Stenographer Grade-I directly on the computer, revised, corrected and signed by me and then pronounced in the open Court on this the 21st day of July, 2026.)

(Biradar Devindrappa N)
Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Haveri.