



**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. DISTRICT & SESSIONS
JUDGE, AT: HAVERI**

DATED THIS THE 10th DAY OF JUNE, 2026

PRESENT: **Sri.Biradar Devindrappa N.,**
B.A., LL.B.,(Spl)
Prl. District & Sessions Judge,
Haveri.

CRL.MISC.No.305/2026

PETITIONERS:

1. Manjappa,
S/o Tirakappa Hallali,
Age 42 years, Occ: Agriculture.
2. Durgappa,
S/o Tirakappa Hallali,
Age 44 years, Occ: Agriculture.
3. Smt. Chandravva @ Chandrakala,
W/o Manjappa Hallali,
Age 24 years, Occ: Household work.
4. Tirakappa,
S/o Duragappa Hallali,
Age 69 years, Occ: Agriculture.
5. Smt. Mamata @ Suma,
W/o Durgappa Hallalli,
Age: 39 years, Occ: Household work.

All are residents of Havanur
Taluk & District: Haveri.

Vs.

RESPONDENT:

The State of Karnataka,
Guttal Police Station, Guttal.

(By Public Prosecutor, Haveri)

ORDER ON PETITION U/SECTION 482 OF BNSS, 2023

Accused Nos.1 to 5 of Crime No.106/2026 of Guttal Police Station, Guttal, for the offences punishable under Sections 74, 115((2), 118(1), 329(3), 351(3) and 352 r/w 3(5) of BNS, 2023 have filed this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for anticipatory bail.

2. Above said case was registered against accused Nos.1 to 5 for the above said offences on the basis of an information dated 07.05.2026 furnished by 60 years old lady and investigation was started. Hence, this petition.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor filed objection.

4. Heard both the sides and perused the records, thereafter, following points arise for determination:

1. Whether the petitioners accused Nos.1 to 5 are entitled to the anticipatory bail?

2. What order?

5. My findings to the above points are as under:

Point No.1 In the affirmative;

Point No.2 As per final order
for the following:

REASONS

6. **Point No.1**: Brief facts of prosecution case are that, 07.05.2026 at 10.30 a.m., in land bearing R.S.No.169/3 of village Havanur belonging to the complainant, in furtherance of their common intention accused Nos.1 to 5 made criminal trespass, accused No.1 removed the boundary stone, raised quarrel with first informant's son Fakkiresh and hit him by hands. Accused No.2 raised quarrel with the first informant and torn her necklace known as thali. Accused No.2 hit Chidabaram the another son of first informant by hands, kicked, abused and threatened. Accused Nos.3 and 5 abused the first informant in filthy language, hit her by hands and pulled the scalp hair. Hence, the prosecution alleges the said offences.

7. According to the prosecution, first informant is cultivating the land and grown the sugarcane. Accused disputed the right of first informant and her family members to harvest the sugarcane, threatened and committed the alleged offences thus, dispute is there in respect of landed property.

8. Now, petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 5 have filed this petition on the following grounds:

Petitioners are innocent and committed no offences but falsely implicated. They are permanent residents of the address shown in the cause title, having immovable properties. Petitioner No.3 has filed counter complaint against the complainant and others on 07.05.2026 in Crime No.103/2026. They are ready and willing to abide by the terms and conditions and furnish surety. Hence, it is prayed to allow the petition.

9. According to prosecution, alleged offences are heinous and punishable with imprisonment up to 07 years and fine, investigation is not yet completed, grounds made out are not acceptable, petitioners may threaten the witnesses, tamper with the evidence and abscond. Accordingly, it is prayed to reject the petition.

10. Copies of FIR, first information, aadhar cards of petitioners and FIR in Crime No.103/2026 are made available.

11. Dispute is there between the parties in respect of boundary. A complaint is filed by the accused against the complainant in Crime No.103/2026 prior to registration of Crime No.106/2026. Incident was taken place on 07.05.2026 at 10.30 a.m. Matter was informed to police on 08.05.2026 at 11.45 a.m. Injured is discharged from the hospital. Life of no one is in danger. No case is made out to hold that, custodial interrogation of the petitioners accused is necessary. Grant of bail may not come in the way of free, fair and full investigation. Alleged offences are not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. No previous conviction of accused is proved. Accused may be prevented from causing threat to the witnesses, tampering the evidence and hampering the prosecution case by imposing conditions. Hence, petitioners accused are entitled to the anticipatory bail. Accordingly, point No.1 is answered in the affirmative.

12. **Point No.2:** For the foregoing reasons, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

Petition under Section 482 of BNSS., 2023
filed by Accused Nos.1 to 5 of Crime No.106/2026

of Guttal Police Station, Guttal, for the offences punishable under Sections 74, 115((2), 118(1), 329(3), 351(3) and 352 r/w 3(5) of BNS, 2023 is allowed and they are granted bail subject to execution of personal bond for Rs.1,00,000/- each with a surety for like sum to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer with following conditions:-

1. They shall surrender before the Investigating Officer within ten days from this order and co-operate for investigation.
2. They shall not commit offence similar to the offence of which they are accused or any other offence.
3. They shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement or threat to any person acquainted with the facts of the case.
4. They shall not leave India till disposal of the case without prior permission of Trial Court and
5. They shall furnish all available address and ID proof documents.

(Dictated to the Stenographer Grade-I directly on the computer, revised, corrected and signed by me and then pronounced in the open Court on this the 10th day of June, 2026.)

(Biradar Devindrappa N)
Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Haveri.