

## ORDERS

The accused has filed application under section 437 of Cr.P.C, to release him on bail. The alleged offence against the accused persons is punishable under section 454 and 380 of IPC.

2. The learned APP has filed objections to the said application, by stating that the complainant police have registered the case against the accused for the offence punishable under section 454 and 380 of IPC are non-bailable in nature. In the event, the accused is released on bail, he may abscond without appearing the court and also hamper the prosecution witnesses and commit the similar type of offence. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Heard arguments on both the sides. Perused the documents.

4. On basis of the above documents, objection the following points that arise for the determination.

1. Whether the application filed by accused persons deserved to be allowed?

2. What order?

5. My answer to the above points as infra.

Point No.1: In the ***Affirmative***,

Point No.2: As per final order for the following;

### ::REASONS::

6. **Point No.1:** The complainant police have lodged the case against the accused for the offence punishable under section 454 and 380 of IPC. The police have also filed charge sheet. It is the

contention of the accused that he is from respectable family. The accused has also taken the contention that he is ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this court. Further, though the offence is non bailable in nature neither punishable with capital nor with life imprisonment and the case is triable by this court. Further has the charge sheet have been filed at this stage tampering the evidence does not arise. At time of arguments, the learned APP submitted that, there are various cases pending against the accused. Whereas no documents have been produced to that regard. However the apprehension of prosecution can be met by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the ***Affirmative***.

7. **Point No.2:** In view of the discussion for point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following;

### **ORDER**

Accused is enlarged on bail subject to execution of P.B. of Rs.50,000/-, with one surety for like sum on following conditions.

1. The accused shall not indulge such or similar kind of activities.
2. He shall not tamper or hamper the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.
3. He shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.

Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.  
Sakaleshpura.

Accordingly one, Sri Manjegowda S/o Shakunigowda, aged about 70 years, R/at Jodihadinakere village, Hassan Hobli &

Taluk, Hassan District is present and filed her affidavit and has produced RTC extract in respect of Sy.No.21/4, measuring 17 guntas situated at Hoovinahallikavalu village, Kasaba Hobli, Hassan taluk and District and along with Aadhaar card and submits that she is ready to stand as surety for accused. After enquiry, her surety is accepted.

Office to take bond.

Issue released intimation in respect of accused if he is not required in any other cases.

Call on- 13.08.2025.

Sd/-  
Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.  
Sakaleshpura.



## ORDERS

Accused has filed application under section 437 of Cr.P.C and application U/S 445 Cr.P.C., to release him on bail. The alleged offence against the accused is punishable under section 379 of IPC.

2. The learned APP has filed objections to the said application, by stating that the complainant police have registered the case against the accused for the offence punishable under section 379 of IPC is non-bailable in nature. In the event, the accused is released on bail, he may abscond without appearing the court and also hamper the prosecution witnesses and commit the similar type of offence. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Heard arguments on both the sides. Perused the documents.

4. On basis of the above documents, objection the following points that arise for the determination.

1. Whether the application filed by accused deserved to be allowed?

2. What order?

5. My answer to the above points as infra.

Point No.1: In the ***Affirmative***,

Point No.2: As per final order for the following;

### ::REASONS::

6. **Point No.1:** The complainant police have lodged the case against the accused for the offence under section 379 of IPC. The police have also filed charge sheet. It is the contention of the

accused that he is from respectable family. The accused has also taken the contention that he is ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this court. The accused is also Railways employee. Further, though the offence is non bailable in nature neither punishable with capital nor with life imprisonment and the case is triable by this court. Further as the charge sheet has been filed, at this stage tampering the evidence does not arise. From the objections filed by the learned APP it appears on prima facie at this stage that the accused is not involved in any other cases. However the apprehension of prosecution can be met by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the ***Affirmative***.

7. **Point No.2:** In view of the discussion for point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following;

### **ORDER**

The accused is enlarged on bail subject to executing personal bond for Rs.30,000/- and deposit cash security of Rs.5,000/- on the following conditions.

1. The accused shall not indulge such or similar kind of activities.
2. He shall not tamper or hamper the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.
3. He shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.

For HBC by 27-11-2024.

C/C. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.  
Sakaleshpura.



**ORDERS**

Accused persons have filed application under section 437 of Cr.P.C, to release him on bail. The alleged offence against the accused persons is punishable under section 323, 324, 326, 504, 506, r/w sec.149 of IPC.

2. The learned APP has filed objections to the said application, by stating that the complainant police have registered the case against the accused for the offence punishable under section 323, 324, 326, 504, 506, r/w sec.149 of IPC is non-bailable in nature. In the event, the accused persons are released on bail, they may abscond without appearing the court and also hamper the prosecution witnesses and commit the similar type of offence. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Heard arguments on both the sides. Perused the documents.

4. On basis of the above documents, objection the following points that arise for the determination.

1. Whether the application filed by accused persons deserved to be allowed?

2. What order?

5. My answer to the above points as infra.

Point No.1: In the ***Affirmative***,

Point No.2: As per final order for the following;

**::REASONS::**

6. **Point No.1:** The complainant police have lodged the case

against the accused persons for the offence 323, 324, 326, 504, 506, r/w sec.149 of IPC. The police have also filed charge sheet. It is the contention of the accused that they are from respectable family. The accused has also taken the contention that he is ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this court. Further, though the offence is non bailable in nature neither punishable with capital nor with life imprisonment and the case is triable by this court. Further has the charge sheet have been filed at this stage tampering the evidence does not arise. From the objections filed by the learned APP it appears on prima facie at this stage that the accused is not involved in any other cases. However the apprehension of prosecution can be met by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the *Affirmative*.

7. **Point No.2:** In view of the discussion for point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following;

### **ORDER**

Accused persons are enlarged on bail subject to execution of P.B. of Rs.50,000/- each, with one surety for like sum on following conditions.

4. The accused persons shall not indulge such or similar kind of activities.
5. They shall not tamper or hamper the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.
6. They shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.

I/C.Prl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.  
Sakaleshpura.

Accordingly one, Deereshkumar, S/o.Devarajshetty, Age: 30 years, R/o: Hale Beluru village, Kasaba Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District, is present and filed his affidavit and has produced RTC extract in respect of Sy.No.9/5, measuring 8 guntas situated at Ramenhally Village, Kasaba Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District and along with Aadhaar card and submits that he is ready to stand as surety for accused persons. After enquiry, his surety is accepted.

Office to take bond.

Call on- 07-10-2024

C/C.Prl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.  
Sakaleshpura.