

Case advanced. Accused by name Sri Santhosh @ Range Gowda S/o. Venkatesh , aged about 38 years, R/o Mudigere village, Kasaba Hobli, Alur taluk, Hassan District is produced in the open court at 3.20 pm on the execution of NBW issued against him through HC No.169 and PC No.763 of Sakaleshpura Rural PS on allegation of an offence punishable under section 380 and 454 of IPC. The accused submits that he was arrested at 7.00 AM on 30-07-2025 at his house Kanagal cross, Udannahalli village, Alur taluk and he was not subjected to any ill treatment at the hands of the police. The accused submits that his arrest is made known to his family members.

The right of free legal aid is made know to the accused. He submits that, he would engage the service of advocate on his own. Accordingly, Smt. BPV Advocate files vakalath for accused along with bail application U/sec.437 of Cr.PC to release the accused on bail by obtaining the signature of accused with the leave of the court.

The offence punishable U/s. 454 of IPC is punishable for the term

imprisonment may be extended to 10 years and section 380 of IPC may be extended to 7 years.

The Ld APP prays time to file objections. On perusal of the records, it appears that it is necessary to hear the prosecution. Hence, the accused is remanded to judicial custody till 13.08.2025.

For objections
By – 31.07.2025.

Sd/-
Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

Case advanced. Accused by name Dharma S/o Siddaiah, aged about 28 years, R/o Dabbegadde village, Sakaleshpura Taluk is produced in the open court at 3.15 pm on the execution of NBW issued against him through HC No. 169 and PC 763 of Sakaleshpura Rural PS on allegation of an offence punishable under section 504, 324 and 506 of IPC. The accused submits that he was arrested at 8.00 AM on 08-01-2025 at Jannapura, Mudigere Taluk and he was not subjected to any ill treatment at the hands of the police.

It appears from the order sheet that in spite of service of summons, he has remained absent. Hence NBW against him was came to be issued. That on 29-11-2022, the accused was produced under NBW. Thereafter he was released on bail and cash surety of Rs.1000/- was imposed. Thereafter he has remained absent. Hence NBW was came to be issued against the accused. Accordingly, this day the accused has been produced under NBW.

The counsel for accused is also present. The accused voluntarily submits that he is ready to plead guilty. Further the counsel for accused submits that the accused is voluntarily submitting that he is ready to plead guilty. Perused the charge sheet and other enclosures. The accusation read over to the accused in the kannada language known to him. He voluntarily

person. If he is imposed the maximum punishment, definitely he will serve the same as nobody will come to his rescue. Further it is settled principle of law that sentence imposed shall respond to the cry of the society. In this case the accused has committed the offence P/U/S 324, 504 and 506 of IPC. The prosecution has not placed any materials that the accused has committed the above said offences more than once and he is habitual offender.

At this stage, this court would like to refer a decision of *Hon'ble Supreme Court of India reported in AIR 2012 SC 3104, Wherein it is held that " Law demands that the offender should be adequately punished for the crime, so that it can deter the offender and other persons from committing similar offence. Nature and circumstances of the offence and need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offence, to afford adequate deterrence to the conduct and to protect the public from such crime are certain factors to be considered while imposing the sentence"*. Having gone through above decision, it is clear that the public should not loose confidence in the judicial system and imposing

inadequate sentences would cause harm and may lead to havoc in the society. Further, keeping in mind that the mitigating factors and circumstances, this court proceeds to pass the following:

::ORDER::

Accused is hereby convicted for the offence punishable under section 324, 504 and 506 of IPC.

1. The accused shall under go simple imprisonment for two months and shall also pay a fine of Rs. 3,000/- for the offence punishable under section 324 of IPC. In default of fine amount, he shall further under go simple imprisonment for a period of 20 days.

2. Further, the accused shall under go simple imprisonment for one month and shall also pay a fine of Rs. 2,000/- for the offence punishable under section 504 of IPC. In default of fine amount, he shall further under go simple imprisonment for a period of 10 days.

3. Further, the accused shall under go simple imprisonment for one month and shall also pay a fine of Rs. 2,000/- for the offence punishable under section 506 of IPC. In default of fine amount, he shall further under go simple imprisonment for a period of 10

days.

All the sentences shall run concurrently.

The period if any spent by the accused as an under trial prisoner, shall be given set off against the sentence now imposed.

Further, in my opinion, this is not a case where the victim needs to be rehabilitated and therefore, there is no need to make a recommendation under section 357A of Cr.P.C.

Material Objects shall be destroyed after expiry of the period prescribed for preferring an appeal .

C/C Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

Case advanced. Accused by name Prathap S/o Karunakaran , aged about 32 years, R/at Saraswathipuram, Arehalli Road, Sakaleshpura is produced in the open court at 5.30 pm on the execution of NBW issued against him through HC No. 185 of Sakaleshpura Town PS on allegation of an offence punishable under section 138 of N.I.Act. The accused submits that he was arrested at 2.00 PM on 07-01-2025 at his house and he was not subjected to any ill treatment at the hands of the police.

Smt. JRB Advocate has filed vakalath for accused along with bail application U/sec.436 of Cr.PC to release the accused on bail by obtaining the signature of accused with the leave of the court. The alleged offence against the accused is P.U/sec. 138 of N.I.Act.

The alleged offence is bailable in nature triable by this court. Hence the bail application filed by the accused is allowed on the following conditions:

::ORDER::

The accused is enlarged on bail subject to executing personal bond for Rs.30,000/- and deposit cash security of Rs.5,000/- on the following conditions.

1) Accused shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.

Office to take bond.

NBW issued against the accused is recalled on penalty of Rs. 300/-.

Call on: 29-01-2025.

C/C Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

On enquirer, the accused submits that on the last date of hearing she was present before the court, but as her mother-in-law died, she was forced to attend the funeral. Further on perusal of order sheet, it discloses that the accused was present on all the dates of hearing. Hence it can be considered the above reason is genuine one and not intentional. The learned counsel for accused files application U/S 70(2) of Cr.P.C. to recall NBW. In view of the above grounds NBW against accused is hereby recalled.

Call on: 13-11-2024.

**C/C Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura**

Case advanced. Accused is produced in the open court at 3.25 pm on the execution of NBW issued against her through WHC No. 231 of Sakaleshpura Town PS on allegation of an offence punishable under section 138 of N.I.Act

On enquirer, the accused submits that on the last date of hearing she was present before the court, but as her mother-in-law died, she was forced to attend the funeral. Further on perusal of order sheet, it discloses that the accused was present on all the dates of hearing. Hence it can be considered the above reason is genuine one and not intentional. The learned counsel for accused files application U/S 70(2) of Cr.P.C. to recall NBW. In view of the above grounds NBW against accused is hereby recalled.

Call on: 13-11-2024.

**C/C Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura**

