

ORDER

The accused has filed bail application under section 480 of B.N.S.S, to release him on bail. The alleged offence against the accused is punishable under section 32, 34 of KE Act.

2. The learned APP has filed objections to the said application, by stating that the complainant police have registered the case against the accused for the offence punishable under section 32, 34 of KE Act are non-bailable in nature. In the event, the accused is released on bail, he may abscond without appearing the court and also hamper the prosecution witnesses and commit the similar type of offence. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Heard arguments on both the sides. Perused the documents.

4. On basis of the above documents, objection the following points that arise for the determination.

1. Whether the application filed by the accused deserved to be allowed?

2. What order?

5. My answer to the above points as infra.

Point No.1: In the *Affirmative*,

Point No.2: As per final order for the following;

::REASONS::

6. **Point No.1:** The complainant police have lodged the case against the accused for the offence P/U/S 32, 34 of KE Act. It is

the contention of the accused that he is from respectable family. The accused has also taken the contention that he is ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this court. Further, though the offence is non bailable in nature neither punishable with capital nor with life imprisonment and the case is triable by this court. From the objections filed by the learned APP it appears on prima facie at this stage that the accused is not involved in any other cases. However the apprehension of prosecution can be met by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the ***Affirmative.***

7. **Point No.2:** In view of the discussion for point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following;

ORDER

Accused is enlarged on bail subject to execution of P.B. of Rs.30,000/-, with one surety for like sum on following conditions.

- 1) The accused shall not indulge such or similar kind of activities.
- 2) He shall not tamper or hamper the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.
- 3) He shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.
- 4) The accused shall cooperate with the I.O

for the purpose of investigation whenever
called upon.

Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

Accordingly one, Sri K.M. Raju S/o Mallaiah, aged about 67 years, R/o Kuniganahalli village, Belagodu Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District is present and filed his affidavit and has produced RTC extract in respect of Sy.No.70/1 measuring 35 guntas, situated at Kuniganahalli village, Belagodu Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District along with Aadhaar card no.278074273072 and submits that he is ready to stand as surety for accused. After enquiry, his surety is accepted.

Office to take bond.

For HBC. By : 15.09.2025.

Sd/-

Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

ORDER

The accused has filed bail application under section 480 of B.N.S.S, to release him on bail. The alleged offence against the accused is punishable under section 303(2) of B.N.S.

2. The learned APP has filed objections to the said application, by stating that the complainant police have registered the case against the accused for the offence punishable under section 303(2) of BNS is non-bailable in nature. In the event, the accused is released on bail, he may abscond without appearing the court and also hamper the prosecution witnesses and commit the similar type of offence. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Heard arguments on both the sides. Perused the documents.

4. On basis of the above documents, objection the following points that arise for the determination.

1. Whether the application filed by the accused deserved to be allowed?
2. What order?
5. My answer to the above points as infra.

Point No.1: In the ***Affirmative***,

Point No.2: As per final order for the following;

::REASONS::

6. **Point No.1:** The complainant police have lodged the case

against the accused for the offence P/U/S 303(2) of BNS. It is the case that on 07-12-2024 at 11.00 AM the accused has committed the theft of sand in the vehicle and there by committed the offence of theft. It is the contention of the accused that he is from respectable family. The accused has also taken the contention that he is ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this court. Further, though the offence is non bailable in nature neither punishable with capital nor with life imprisonment and the case is triable by this court. From the objections filed by the learned APP it appears on prima facie at this stage that the accused is not involved in any other cases. However the apprehension of prosecution can be met by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the ***Affirmative***.

7. **Point No.2:** In view of the discussion for point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following;

ORDER

Accused is enlarged on bail subject to execution of P.B. of Rs.30,000/-, with one surety for like sum on following conditions.

- 1) The accused shall not indulge such or similar kind of activities.
- 2) He shall not tamper or hamper the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.

3) He shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.

4) The accused shall cooperate with the I.O for the purpose of investigation whenever called upon.

C/C Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

Accordingly one, Sri.Deeresh Kumar H.D. S/o Late H.T.Devarajashetty, aged about 30 years, R/o Halebeluru village, Kasaba Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District is present and filed his affidavit and has produced RTC extract in respect of Sy.No.66/9 measuring 0.20 guntas, situated at Kabbinagadde village, Kasaba Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District along with Aadhaar card and submits that he is ready to stand as surety for accused. After enquiry, his surety is accepted.

Office to take bond.

Put up after final report.

C/C Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

ORDER

The accused has filed bail application under section 480 of B.N.S.S, to release him on bail. The alleged offence against the accused is punishable under section , 329(4), 352, 115(2), 118(2) and 351(3) of B.N.S.

2. The learned APP has filed objections to the said application, by stating that the complainant police have registered the case against the accused for the offence punishable under section 329(4), 352, 115(2), 118(2) and 351(3) of B.N.S are non-bailable in nature. In the event, the accused is released on bail, he may abscond without appearing the court and also hamper the prosecution witnesses and commit the similar type of offence. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Heard arguments on both the sides. Perused the documents.

4. On basis of the above documents, objection the following points that arise for the determination.

1. Whether the application filed by the accused deserved to be allowed?
2. What order?
5. My answer to the above points as infra.

Point No.1: In the ***Affirmative***,

Point No.2: As per final order for the following;

::REASONS::

6. **Point No.1:** The complainant police have lodged the case against the accused for the offences P/U/S 329(4), 352, 115(2), 118(2) and 351(3) of B.N.S. It is the case that on 04-11-2024 at 6.00 PM the accused has abused informant in filthy language and assaulted him by hand and bit the ear and there by caused grievous hurt. He has also criminally intimidated by giving life threat. It is the contention of the accused that he is from respectable family. The accused has also taken the contention that he is ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this court. Further, though the offence punishable under section 115(2) of BNS is non bailable in nature and imprisonment may extent to 10 years, neither punishable with capital nor with life imprisonment and the case is triable by this court. However the apprehension of prosecution can be met by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the ***Affirmative.***

7. **Point No.2:** In view of the discussion for point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following;

ORDER

Accused is enlarged on bail subject to execution of P.B. of Rs.30,000/- with one surety for like sum on following conditions.

1) The accused shall not indulge such

or similar kind of activities.

2) He shall not tamper or hamper the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.

3) He shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.

4) The accused shall cooperate with the I.O for the purpose of investigation whenever called upon.

Addl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

ORDER

The accused persons have filed bail application under section 480 of B.N.S.S, to release him on bail. The alleged offence against the accused persons are punishable under section 303(2) of B.N.S.

2. The learned APP has filed objections to the said application, by stating that the complainant police have registered the case against the accused persons for the offence punishable under section 303(2) of BNS is non-bailable in nature. In the event, the accused persons are released on bail, they may abscond without appearing the court and also hamper the prosecution witnesses and commit the similar type of offence. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Heard arguments on both the sides. Perused the documents.

4. On basis of the above documents, objection the following points that arise for the determination.

1. Whether the application filed by the accused deserved to be allowed?
2. What order?
5. My answer to the above points as infra.

Point No.1: In the ***Affirmative***,

Point No.2: As per final order for the following;

::REASONS::

6. **Point No.1:** The complainant police have lodged the case against the accused persons for the offences P/U/S 303(2) of BNS. It is the case that on 11-11-2024 at 9.50 AM the accused persons have committed the theft of sand in the vehicle and there by committed the offence of theft. It is the contention of the accused persons that they are from respectable family. The accused persons have also taken the contention that they are ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this court. Further, though the offence is non bailable in nature neither punishable with capital nor with life imprisonment and the case is triable by this court. From the objections filed by the learned APP it appears on prima facie at this stage that the accused persons are not involved in any other cases. However the apprehension of prosecution can be met by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the ***Affirmative.***

7. **Point No.2:** In view of the discussion for point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following;

ORDER

Accused No.1 and 2 are enlarged on bail subject to execution of P.B. of Rs.30,000/- each, with one surety for like sum on following conditions.

- 1) The accused persons shall not indulge such or similar kind of activities.
- 2) They shall not tamper or hamper the

prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.

3) They shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.

4) The accused persons shall cooperate with the I.O for the purpose of investigation whenever called upon.

Addl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

Accordingly one, Sri.E.D.Vijaykumar S/o late Dyavaiah, aged 43 years, Echalapura village, Hethur Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District is present and filed his affidavit and has produced RTC extract in respect of Sy.No.232 measuring 1 acre, situated at Nidigere village, Hethur Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District along with Aadhaar card and submits that he is ready to stand as surety for accused. After enquiry, his surety is accepted.

Office to take bond.

Put up after final report.

Addl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

ORDER

The accused has filed bail application under section 480 of B.N.S.S, to release him on bail. The alleged offence against the accused is punishable under section 303(2) of B.N.S.

2. The learned APP has filed objections to the said application, by stating that the complainant police have registered the case

against the accused for the offence punishable under section 303(2) of BNS is non-bailable in nature. In the event, the accused is released on bail, he may abscond without appearing the court and also hamper the prosecution witnesses and commit the similar type of offence. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Heard arguments on both the sides. Perused the documents.

4. On basis of the above documents, objection the following points that arise for the determination.

1. Whether the application filed by the accused deserved to be allowed?

2. What order?

5. My answer to the above points as infra.

Point No.1: In the *Affirmative*,

Point No.2: As per final order for the following;

::REASONS::

6. **Point No.1:** The complainant police have lodged the case against the accused for the offences P/U/S 303(2) of BNS. It is the case that on 26-10-2024 at 11.15 AM the accused has committed the theft of sand in his vehicle and there by committed the offence of theft. It is the contention of the accused that he is from respectable family. The accused has also taken the contention that he is ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this court. Further, though the offence is non bailable in nature neither punishable with capital nor with life imprisonment and the case is

triable by this court. From the objections filed by the learned APP it appears on prima facie at this stage that the accused is not involved in any other cases. However the apprehension of prosecution can be met by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the *Affirmative*.

7. **Point No.2:** In view of the discussion for point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following;

ORDER

Accused is enlarged on bail subject to execution of P.B. of Rs.30,000/-, with one surety for like sum on following conditions.

- 1) The accused shall not indulge such or similar kind of activities.
- 2) He shall not tamper or hamper the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.
- 3) He shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.
- 4) The accused shall cooperate with the I.O for the purpose of investigation whenever called upon.

Addl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

Accordingly one, Sri.Gangadhar H.M. S/o Late Manjappashetty, aged about 55 years, R/at Halebelur village, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District is present and filed his affidavit and has produced RTC extract in respect of Sy.No.148/7 measuring 10 guntas, situated at Halebelur village, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District along with Aadhaar card and submits that he is ready to stand as surety for accused. After enquiry, his surety is accepted.

Office to take bond.

For objection and report.

Call on: 04-11-2024.

C/C Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

ORDER

The accused persons have filed bail application under section 480 of B.N.S.S, to release their on bail. The alleged offence against the accused persons are punishable under section , 118(1), 352, 351(3) , 3(5) of B.N.S.

2. The learned APP has filed objections to the said application, by stating that the complainant police have registered the case against the accused persons for the offence punishable under section 118(1), 352, 351(3) , 3(5) of B.N.S are non-bailable in nature. In the event, the accused persons are released on bail, they may abscond without appearing the court and also hamper the prosecution witnesses and commit the similar type of offence. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Heard arguments on both the sides. Perused the documents.

4. On basis of the above documents, objection the following points that arise for the determination.

1. Whether the application filed by the accused persons deserved to be allowed?
2. What order?
5. My answer to the above points as infra.

Point No.1: In the *Affirmative*,

Point No.2: As per final order for the following;

::REASONS::

6. **Point No.1:** The complainant police have lodged the case against the accused persons for the offences P/U/S 118(1), 352, 351(3) , 3(5) of B.N.S. It is the case that on 21-10-2024 at 9.15 AM the accused persons have abused informant in filthy language and assaulted him by means of club. They have also criminally intimidated by giving life threat. It is the contention of the accused persons that they are from respectable family. The accused persons have also taken the contention that they are ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this court. Further, though the offences are non bailable in nature neither punishable with capital nor with life imprisonment and the case is triable by this court. However the apprehension of prosecution can be met by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the *Affirmative*.

7. **Point No.2:** In view of the discussion for point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following;

ORDER

Accused persons enlarged on bail subject to execution of P.B. of Rs.30,000/- each with one surety for like sum on following conditions.

- 1) The accused persons shall not indulge such or similar kind of activities.
- 2) They shall not tamper or hamper the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.
- 3) They shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.
- 4) The accused persons shall cooperate with the I.O for the purpose of investigation whenever called upon.

C/C Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

Accordingly one, Sri. Ananda N.R. S/o Rajappa, aged about 54 years, R/at Nadahalli village, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District is present and filed his affidavit and has produced RTC extract in respect of Sy.No.278/1, measuring 02 acres 07 guntas situated at Nadahalli village, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District along

with Aadhaar card and submits that he is ready to stand as surety for accused persons. After enquiry, his surety is accepted.

Office to take bond.

Put-up after final report.

C/C Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

ORDER

The accused is filed bail application under section 480 of B.N.S.S, to release him on bail. The alleged offence against the accused is punishable under section 303(2) of B.N.S.

2. The learned APP has filed objections to the said application, by stating that the complainant police have registered the case against the accused for the offence punishable under section 303(2) of BNS is non-bailable in nature. In the event, the accused is released on bail, he may abscond without appearing the court and also hamper the prosecution witnesses and commit the similar type of offence. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Heard arguments on both the sides. Perused the documents.

4. On basis of the above documents, objection the following points that arise for the determination.

1. Whether the application filed by the accused deserved to be allowed?
2. What order?

5. My answer to the above points as infra.

Point No.1: In the *Affirmative*,

Point No.2: As per final order for the following;

::REASONS::

6. **Point No.1:** The complainant police have lodged the case against the accused for the offences P/U/S 303(2) of BNS. It is the case that on 19-10-2024 at 4.00 AM the accused has committed the theft of sand in his tractor and there by committed the offence of theft. It is the contention of the accused that he is from respectable family. The accused has also taken the contention that he is ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this court. Further, though the offence is non bailable in nature neither punishable with capital nor with life imprisonment and the case is triable by this court. From the objections filed by the learned APP it appears on prima facie at this stage that the accused is not involved in any other cases. However the apprehension of prosecution can be met by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the *Affirmative*.

7. **Point No.2:** In view of the discussion for point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following;

ORDER

Accused is enlarged on bail subject to execution of P.B. of Rs.30,000/-, with one surety for like sum on

following conditions.

- 1) The accused shall not indulge such or similar kind of activities.
- 2) He shall not tamper or hamper the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.
- 3) He shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.
- 4) The accused shall cooperate with the I.O for the purpose of investigation whenever called upon.

Addl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

Accordingly one, Sri.K.D.Ashoka S/o Dharmegowda, aged about 42 years, R/at Kovalli village, Uchangi Post, Yasaluru Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District is present and filed his affidavit and has produced RTC extract in respect of Sy.No.207/2 Khata No.265, measuring 1 Acre situated at Uchangi Post, Yasaluru Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District and along with Aadhaar card and submits that he is ready to stand as surety for accused. After enquiry, his surety is accepted.

Office to take bond.

Put-up after final report.

CC. No.576/2025

Addl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

ORDER

The accused is filed bail application under section 480 of B.N.S.S, to release him on bail. The alleged offence against the accused is punishable under section 74 and 352 of B.N.S.,

2. The learned APP has filed objections to the said application, by stating that the complainant police have registered the case against the accused for the offence punishable under section 74 and 352 of BNS is non-bailable in nature. In the event, the accused is released on bail, he may abscond without appearing the court and also hamper the prosecution witnesses and commit the similar type of offence. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Heard arguments on both the sides. Perused the documents.

4. On basis of the above documents, objection the following points that arise for the determination.

1. Whether the application filed by the accused deserved to be allowed?
2. What order?
5. My answer to the above points as infra.

Point No.1: In the ***Affirmative***,

Point No.2: As per final order for the following;

::REASONS::

6. **Point No.1:** The complainant police have lodged the case against the accused for the offences P/U/S 74 and 352 of BNS. It is

the case that on 30.07.2024 at 3.30 PM the accused has abused informant in filthy language and pulled her hair and there by intended to outrage her modesty. It is the contention of the accused that he is from respectable family. The accused has also taken the contention that he is ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this court. Further, though the offence is non bailable in nature neither punishable with capital nor with life imprisonment and the case is triable by this court. From the objections filed by the learned APP it appears on prima facie at this stage that the accused is not involved in any other cases. However the apprehension of prosecution can be met by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the ***Affirmative***.

7. **Point No.2:** In view of the discussion for point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following;

ORDER

Accused is enlarged on bail subject to execution of P.B. of Rs.30,000/-, with one surety for like sum on following conditions.

- 1) The accused shall not indulge such or similar kind of activities.
- 2) He shall not tamper or hamper the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.

3) He shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.

4) The accused shall cooperate with the I.O for the purpose of investigation whenever called upon.

Addl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

Accordingly one, Sri.Dharmappa K.P., S/o.Puttegowda, Age: 61 years, R/o: Kamanahalli Village, Yasaluru Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan district, is present and filed his affidavit and has produced RTC extract in respect of Sy.No.16/3 Khata No.24, measuring 1 Acre 03 Guntas situated at Manjuru Village, Yasaluru Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan district and along with Aadhaar card and submits that he is ready to stand as surety for accused. After enquiry, his surety is accepted.

Office to take bond.

Put-up after final report.

Addl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

ORDER

The accused is filed bail application under section 480 of B.N.S.S, to release him on bail. The alleged offence against the accused is punishable under section 74 and 352 of B.N.S.,

2. The learned APP has filed objections to the said application, by stating that the complainant police have registered the case against the accused for the offence punishable under section 74 and 352 of BNS is non-bailable in nature. In the event, the accused is released on bail, he may abscond without appearing the court and also hamper the prosecution witnesses and commit the similar type of offence. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Heard arguments on both the sides. Perused the documents.

4. On basis of the above documents, objection the following points that arise for the determination.

1. Whether the application filed by the accused deserved to be allowed?

2. What order?

5. My answer to the above points as infra.

Point No.1: In the ***Affirmative***,

Point No.2: As per final order for the following;

::REASONS::

6. **Point No.1:** The complainant police have lodged the case against the accused for the offences P/U/S 74 and 352 of BNS. It is

the case that on 30.07.2024 at 3.30 PM the accused has abused informant in filthy language and pulled her hair and there by intended to outrage her modesty. It is the contention of the accused that he is from respectable family. The accused has also taken the contention that he is ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this court. Further, though the offence is non bailable in nature neither punishable with capital nor with life imprisonment and the case is triable by this court. From the objections filed by the learned APP it appears on prima facie at this stage that the accused is not involved in any other cases. However the apprehension of prosecution can be met by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the ***Affirmative***.

7. **Point No.2:** In view of the discussion for point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following;

ORDER

Accused is enlarged on bail subject to execution of P.B. of Rs.30,000/-, with one surety for like sum on following conditions.

- 1) The accused shall not indulge such or similar kind of activities.
- 2) He shall not tamper or hamper the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.

3) He shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.

4) The accused shall cooperate with the I.O for the purpose of investigation whenever called upon.

Addl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

Accordingly one, Sri.Dharmappa K.P., S/o.Puttegowda, Age: 61 years, R/o: Kamanahalli Village, Yasaluru Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan district, is present and filed his affidavit and has produced RTC extract in respect of Sy.No.16/3 Khata No.24, measuring 1 Acre 03 Guntas situated at Manjuru Village, Yasaluru Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan district and along with Aadhaar card and submits that he is ready to stand as surety for accused. After enquiry, his surety is accepted.

Office to take bond.

Put-up after final report.

Addl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

ORDER

The accused has filed bail application under section 480 of B.N.S.S, to release his on bail. The alleged offence against the accused persons are punishable under section 115(2), 118(1), 352 of B.N.S.

2. The learned APP has filed objections to the said application, by stating that the complainant police have registered the case against the accused for the offence punishable under section 115(2), 118(1), 352 of B.N.S are non-bailable in nature. In the event, the accused is released on bail, they may abscond without appearing the court and also hamper the prosecution witnesses and commit the similar type of offence. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Heard arguments on both the sides. Perused the documents.

4. On basis of the above documents, objection the following points that arise for the determination.

1. Whether the application filed by the

accused deserved to be allowed?

2. What order?

5. My answer to the above points as infra.

Point No.1: In the ***Affirmative***,

Point No.2: As per final order for the following;

::REASONS::

6. **Point No.1:** The complainant police have lodged the case against the accused for the offences P/U/S 115(2), 118(1), 352 of B.N.S. It is the case that on 06-10-2024 at 4.30 PM the accused has abused informant in filthy language and assaulted him by means of club. He also criminally intimidated by giving life threat. It is the contention of the accused that he is from respectable family. The accused has also taken the contention that he is ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this court. Further, though the offences are non bailable in nature neither punishable with capital nor with life imprisonment and the case is triable by this court. However the apprehension of prosecution can be met by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the ***Affirmative***.

7. **Point No.2:** In view of the discussion for point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following;

ORDER

Accused is enlarged on bail subject to execution of

P.B. of Rs.30,000/- with one surety for like sum on following conditions.

- 1) The accused shall not indulge such or similar kind of activities.
- 2) He shall not tamper or hamper the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.
- 3) He shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.
- 4) The accused shall cooperate with the I.O for the purpose of investigation whenever called upon.

Addl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

Accordingly one, Sri Gopal S/o Rajaiah, aged 37 years, R/at Malali village, Kasaba Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District is present and filed his affidavit and has produced RTC extract in respect of Sy.No.116/1, measuring 21 guntas situated at Malali village, Kasaba Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District along with Aadhaar card and submits that he is ready to stand as surety for accused. After enquiry, her surety is accepted.

Office to take bond.

Put-up after final report.

Addl. Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura

ORDER

The accused persons have filed bail application under section 480 of B.N.S.S, to release their on bail. The alleged offence against the accused persons are punishable under section 2, 9, 50, 51 of Wild life Protection Act.

2. The learned APP has filed objections to the said application, by stating that the complainant police have registered the case against the accused persons for the offence punishable under section 2, 9, 50, 51 of Wild life Protection Act are non-bailable in nature. In the event, the accused persons are released on bail, they may abscond without appearing the court and also hamper the prosecution witnesses and commit the similar type of offence. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Heard arguments on both the sides. Perused the documents.

4. On basis of the above documents, objection the following points that arise for the determination.

1. Whether the application filed by the accused deserved to be allowed?
2. What order?

5. My answer to the above points as infra.

Point No.1: In the *Affirmative*,

Point No.2: As per final order for the following;

::REASONS::

6. **Point No.1:** The complainant police have lodged the case against the accused persons for the offences P/U/S 2, 9, 50, 51 of Wild life Protection Act. It is the case that on 26-10-2024 at 11.15 AM the accused persons have has committed the theft of sand in his vehicle and there by committed the offence of theft. It is the contention of the accused persons that they are from respectable family. The accused persons have also taken the contention that they are ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this court. Further, though the offence is non bailable in nature neither punishable with capital nor with life imprisonment and the case is triable by this court. From the objections filed by the learned APP it appears on prima facie at this stage that the accused persons are not involved in any other cases. However the apprehension of prosecution can be met by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, Point No.1 is answered in the *Affirmative*.

7. **Point No.2:** In view of the discussion for point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following;

ORDER

Accused persons are enlarged on bail subject to

execution of P.B. of Rs.30,000/- each, with one surety for like sum on following conditions.

- 1) The accused persons shall not indulge such or similar kind of activities.
- 2) They shall not tamper or hamper the prosecution witnesses or evidence in any manner.
- 3) They shall regularly appear before the court on all dates of hearing.
- 4) The accused persons shall cooperate with the I.O for the purpose of investigation whenever called upon.

C/C Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura.

Accordingly one, Sri.D.B.Dinesh S/o Late Beligowda, 48 years, R/at Neekannahalli village, Hanubalu Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District is present and filed his affidavit and has produced RTC extract in respect of Sy.No.67/5 measuring 03 acres 38 guntas, situated at Kogaravalli village, Hanubalu Hobli, Sakaleshpura Taluk, Hassan District along with Aadhaar card and submits that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.1 and 2. After enquiry, his surety is accepted.

Office to take bond.

For objection and report.

CC. No.576/2025

Call on:

C/C Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.
Sakaleshpura