

MANOJ KUMAR GUPTA Vs. DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

07.08.2026

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bhatia, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff with plaintiff.

Dr. Sanjay Sharma and Sh. SG Asthana, Ld. Counsel for defendant/DDA.

An application u/o 6 rule 17 r/w section 151 C.P.C alongwith the amended plaint and supporting affidavit is preferred on behalf of plaintiff.

It is stated by Ld. Counsel for defendant that they have received the copy of the said application alongwith the amended plaint.

Upon query, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that the legality/validity of the notice could not be challenged before the appropriate forum/ATDDA on account of bereavement in the family of the plaintiff. Accordingly, prays for extending the interim benefit granted vide order dt. 06.07.2026.

Said request is not objected by Ld. Counsel for defendant.

Without commenting upon the merits, it is directed that interim order dt. 06.07.2026 be continued for 3 weeks from today.

Reply to the application u/o 6 rule 17 r/w section 151 C.P.C be filed on or before NDOH and advance copy of the same be supplied to the opposite party atleast a week prior to NDOH.

Put up for consideration on application u/o 6 rule 17 r/w section 151 C.P.C/further proceedings on 12.10.2026.

At request, copy of this order be given dasti.

(Himanshu Sehloth)
Civil Judge-02 (Central), Delhi
07.08.2026