

**ORDER ON IA No.I FILED UNDER
ORDER VII RULE 14(3) OF CODE
OF CIVIL PROCEDURE**

The applicant has filed I.A.No.I seeking to permit him to produce additional documents in proof of his case.

2. In the affidavits annexed to the application, it is stated that, he has filed the suit seeking the relief of permanent injunction. He has to produce certain additional documents in relation to the suit. Therefore, if the application is not allowed, the applicant would be put to irreparable loss and injury. Hence, prayed to allow the application.

3. The defendant has filed objection stating that, the application is not maintainable either in law or on facts. The plaintiff has produced FIR in Crime No.133/2015, copies of Statements, Mahazar and B-report. However, the defendants have filed protest petition to the said B-report. Thereafter, the said B-report has been rejected and the case is in the stage of trial. The plaintiff has suppressed the order of rejecting the B-report and filed this application. There is no relationship to the suit and the documents sought to be produced. On these grounds, the defendant prays to reject the applications.

4. Heard both the counsel appearing for plaintiff and defendant.

5. The following points would arise for the consideration of this Court:

1. Whether the applicant has made out grounds to allow the application?

2. What order?

6. Above points are answered as under:

Point No.1: In the affirmative

Point No.2: As per final order for the following:

REASONS

7. Point No.1: Counsel for plaintiff argued that the said documents are necessary to substantiate the case of the plaintiff. Counsel for defendant argued that the plaintiff with an intention to drag the proceedings has filed the application. Apart from that, there are no merits in the application and prayed to reject the same with costs.

8. In this case, the plaintiff is specifically contending that, the documents proposed to be produced

are necessary to prove his case. Eventhough, the defendant has raised several objections, this court is of the view that, the said objection can be considered at the later stage. So also, the genuinity and otherwise of the documents will be taken up for consideration at the time of appreciation of evidence. Further, the defendant has every right to cross examine plaintiff regarding the said documents. As such for the proper and effective adjudication of the matter and in order to avoid multiplicity of proceedings, this court is of the opinion that, the I.A.No.I has to be allowed and PW-1 has to be permitted to produce additional documents. Accordingly, point No.1 is answered in the Affirmative.

9. Point No .2: For the reasons stated while discussing point No.1, this Court proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

The I.A.No.I filed under Order VII Rule 14(3) of CPC are hereby allowed.

The applicant/plaintiff is permitted to produce additional documents as sough for.

For further chief examination of
PW-1 by 04.03.2026.

**Prl. Civil Judge & JMFC
Holenarasipura.**