

Scch-20

IN THE COURT OF THE V ADDL. MEMBER MACT COURT
OF SMALL CAUSES, MAYO HALL UNIT, BENGALURU.

Misc No:15006/2018

RW 1

WITNESS DULY SWORN ON 04/02/2019

Witness Name : Rajanna.C
Father Name : Late Chikkanna
Age : 45 Years
Occupation : Process server, Mayo hall unit, City
Civil Court.
Residency : Bengaluru.

Chief Examination by Sri.C.K.S advocate for Respondent.

I have received the notice in HRC 10017/17 for service upon Syed Asadulla S/o Hasham, new no.12, 1st floor, old no.TP356/F, Sonnappa garden, model layout, 1st cross, Devarajevanahalli main road, Opposite to Ananda complex, Bengaluru 560045. When I entered this court hall I have seen the petitioner in this case. At present he is absent. Now the petitioner entered the court hall , witness identified him. I have served the s/s on the petitioner inperson. Now I see the notice. Its certified copy is marked as Ex.R.1. I have obtained the signature of the petitioner and I have endorsed the notice. When I visited the aforesaid address, petitioner himself introduced to me as Syed Asdulla and I have served the notice.

Cross examination by Sri. advocate for petitioner.

I visited the petitioner home to serve notice. It is false to suggest that I did not visit the petitioner address and I am deposing false evidence. I visited the petitioner home on 04.11.2017. I did not mention the time. I visited the house at morning hours. Now I don't remember the time. When I knocked the door, petitioner was present in person. Petitioner signed before me to the notice. It is false to suggest that petitioner did not sign the notice and it is not petitioner signature on Ex.R.1. Now I see the petitioner signature on his vakalath and the signature on Ex.R.1.

Question: There is difference between the signature in the vakalath and Ex.R.1.?

Answer: It may be there , when I visited the house the petitioner has signed in the said manner.

It is false to suggest that petitioner did not put his signature to the notice and it is the Respondent himself has forged the signature. It is false to suggest that in order to help the Respondent , I am deposing false and in fact I did not serve the notice on petitioner.

Re examination : Nil

(TYPED TO MY DICTATION IN THE OPEN COURT)

R O I & A C

V Addl. Court of Small Causes
Judge,
Member MACT & 24th ACMM.