

22 Cr. Case 3494/2026
STATE Vs. POOJA
FIR No. 252/2025 (Anand Parbat)

10.07.2026

Present: Ms. Arunima Goel, Ld. APP for State.
Accused in person with Ld. Counsel
Sh. Harish Kumar.
HC Dharmender has appeared on behalf of IO.

Matter is at the stage of appearance of accused/further proceedings.

Fresh Vakalatnama filed on behalf of accused. Same is taken on record.

In compliance of Section 230 BNSS, copy of chargesheet alongwith documents has been supplied to the accused against acknowledgement.

Arguments heard on the point of notice.

It is argued by accused that she is innocent and deserves to be discharged.

Per contra, Ld. APP has argued that there is sufficient material on record to put the accused person on trial and notice **U/s. 223(a) BNS** is prima facie made out.

Submissions heard. Record perused.

From the perusal of the entire record and after hearing the arguments, I am of the considered opinion that notice **U/s. 223(a) BNS** is prima-facie is made out against the accused person.

Notice is separately framed. The same is read over and explained to the accused person in vernacular language to which the accused person has pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.

Contd.....

At this stage, the accused is called upon to admit/deny the genuineness of the documents. Separate statement recorded under Section 294 CrPC/330 BNSS. Accordingly, the witnesses mentioned at serial No. 3 and 4 stand dropped from the list of witnesses.

At this stage, an application for plea-bargaining in terms of section 290 BNSS has been moved on behalf of the accused.

Submissions heard.

Notice has been framed against the accused and thirty days have not elapsed from the date when notice was framed.

In view of the submissions made, matter is hereby referred for Pre-Sitting of Lok Adalat today itself for consideration on the application.

[ANKUR PANGHAL]

JMFC-05(West)

THC/Delhi/10.07.2026

PRE-SITTING OF LOK ADALAT

Present: Ms. Arunima Goel, Ld. APP for State.
Accused in person with Ld. Counsel
Sh. Harish Kumar.
HC Dharmender has appeared on behalf of IO.

This Court is holding Pre-Sitting of Lok Adalat today itself so as to avoid overcrowding in the Court when the National Lok Adalat is scheduled to be held. The accused is facing trial for the offence u/s 223(a) BNS. The applicant/accused was examined by this Court and this Court is satisfied that the applicant/accused has

Contd.....

voluntarily moved the application and understood the nature of accusation against her as also the nature and extent of punishment under the law. After understanding the same, accused has chosen to plead guilty.

Therefore, this court proceeds to record the statement of the parties.

Statement of accused, Ld. APP and HC Dharmender recorded accordingly.

[ANKUR PANGHAL]
JMFC-05(West)
THC/Delhi/10.07.2026

ORDER

Present: Ms. Arunima Goel, Ld. APP for State.
Accused in person with Ld. Counsel
Sh. Harish Kumar.
HC Dharmender has appeared on behalf of IO.

Having heard the parties, I find that the mutually satisfactory disposition worked out mutually by the parties is fair and just.

The accused has admitted her guilt and wants to avail the benefit of Chapter XXIII of BNSS by Plea Bargain. I am prima-facie satisfied that the charge against her is well founded.

In view of the plea of guilt made by the accused, accused is convicted for the offence u/s 223(a) BNS.

[ANKUR PANGHAL]
JMFC-05(West)
THC/Delhi/10.07.2026

Contd.....

ORDER ON SENTENCE

Present: Ms. Arunima Goel, Ld. APP for State.
Convict in person with Ld. Counsel
Sh. Harish Kumar.
HC Dharmender has appeared on behalf of IO.

Heard on the point of sentence.

As no previous involvement of convict is brought on record by the IO and taking into consideration the circumstances of present case including the nature of offence as well as character of convict, **the convict is released after due admonition for the offence U/s 223(a) BNS.**

Put up for further proceedings before National Lok Adalat Judge to be held on **12.09.2026.**

[ANKUR PANGHAL]
JMFC-05(West)
THC/Delhi/10.07.2026