

MHCC020069552026



IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS FOR GREATER BOMBAY

AT MUMBAI

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.1278 OF 2026

IN

C.R. No.569 OF 2026

Pratik Ramchandra Gangan

Aged 27 years, Indian Inhabitant,

Occupation: Service,

Residing at: Room No.4, Ground Floor,

Ambeshwar Bhawan, Khetwadi,

Mumbai – 400004

Mobile No.7900042110

Presently in Jail Custody.

....Applicant

Versus

1. XXX

2. The Senior Inspector of Police

(At the instance of Dr. D.B. Marg Police

Station, Mumbai)

...Respondent

Appearance :-

Mr. Deepak V Dere, Ld. Counsel for Applicant.

Mr. B.G. Rajput, Ld. APP for Respondent/State.

CORAM : HHJ SHRI. P. A. SABLE
Additional Sessions Judge,
C.R. No.38.

DATED : 11.06.2026

ORAL ORDER

1. By this application the applicant seeking bail under Section 483 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ("BNSS" for short) in connection with Crime No.569 of 2026 registered with Dr. D.B. Marg Police Station, Mumbai for the offence punishable under Sections 351(2) and 64(1) the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 ("BNS" for short).

2. In short it is the case of prosecution that the complainant/victim got acquainted with applicant during band practice and they became close friends and later on it was turned into love relationship. They used to meet each other outside. It is alleged that the applicant employed the complainant at his uncle's General Stores, where he was working. It is alleged that on 10.11.2023, the applicant had established forcible sexual intercourse with her when she was alone in the shop and had taken her semi-nude photographs. It is alleged that the applicant had established forcible sexual intercourse with her thrice since from December 2023 to November 2024 in the said shop. The applicant threatened her that he would sent the said photographs to her family members, if she would not talk with him. Therefore, she has filed report against the applicant.

3. It is the contention of the applicant that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. There was love affairs between the applicant and complainant which indicates their consensual relations. It is contended that there is nothing on record to show that the applicant had kept physical relations with the complainant with bad intention or motive to deceive her. It is contended that both were intending to marry and complainant went several times to the native place of the applicant

and stayed there with the consent of her mother. But due to misunderstanding their relations turned into differences. Further it is contended that thorough custodial interrogation was conducted and therefore, his further custody is not necessary. The Investigating Officer has already seized his mobile and also got conducted his medical examination and taken the DNA sample which is sent to the Forensic Laboratory. Applicant is having no criminal antecedent and he is ready to abide by the conditions imposed by the Court. So, he prays for grant of bail.

4. The Investigating Officer filed his say at Exh.2 through the Ld. APP and opposed the bail application. It is contended that the offence is serious and the complainant had become pregnant from the applicant because of forcible sexual relations. Spot panchnama is yet to be drawn. If the applicant is released on bail, he will tamper with prosecution evidence and he may threaten the family members of the complainant and prosecution witnesses. Because of such incident the mental condition of the complainant is affected. On all these grounds the application is opposed.

5. The complainant also filed her handwritten say at Exh.3 reiterating the allegations made in the FIR.

6. I have heard both sides and gone through the material placed on record, it appears that the complainant got acquainted with the applicant in band practice. They, became close friends and that turned into love relationship. It appears that the applicant had sexual relations with the complainant at General Stores where both were working when she was alone for three times from December 2023 to November 2024. It is

alleged that she had become pregnant out of such relations. It appears that the quarrel took place in between them and she stopped to come to the said General Stores and to talk with the applicant, resulting in the filing of the present FIR. It is to be noted that the complainant is a major and capable of understanding the consequences but yet she has not filed report on earlier occasions although she claims the physical relations were kept forcibly, this aspect is subject to trial. It cannot be overlooked that they developed love relationship. In the present crime the investigation is completed and charge sheet came to be filed. Therefore nothing is remained. Considering the all these facts and the residence of applicant in Mumbai with no criminal antecedents, there appears to be no risk of absconding or threat to the complainant. In such circumstances, the application deserves to be allowed with conditions. Hence I pass the following order.

ORDER

- 1) Criminal Bail Application No.1278 of 2026 is allowed.
- 2) The applicant/accused **Pratik Ramchandra Gangan** aged about 27 years, resident at Room No.4, Ground Floor, Ambeshwar Bhawan, Khetwadi, Mumbai – 400004, in connection to C.R No.569 of 2026 registered at Dr. D.B. Marg Police Station, Mumbai, for the offences punishable under Sections 351(2) and 64(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, be released on his executing personal bond in the sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with one or two sureties in the like amount subject to following conditions:-
 - i) The applicant-accused shall regularly attend the dates

of hearing before the ld. jurisdictional court unless his personal appearance is dispensed with by the said court.

- ii) The applicant/accused shall not indulge in commission of similar offence/any other offences.
 - iii) The applicant-accused shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officers.
 - iv) The applicant-accused shall keep the investigating agency updated of his contact details such as place of residence, mobile number, e-mail id, if any, within a week, in case of change therein.
 - v) The applicant-accused shall not leave India without prior permission of the jurisdictional court.
- 3) The applicant-accused is granted provisional cash bail in lieu of surety for a period of four weeks.
- 4) Criminal Bail Application No.1278 of 2026 stands disposed of accordingly.



Date: 11/06/2026

(P. A. SABLE)
Additional Sessions Judge
Gr. Bombay

Directly dictated on: 11/06/2026
Signed by HHJ on : 11/06/2026

:6: Criminal B.A. No.1278/2026

“CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL
SIGNED JUDGMENT/ORDER”

UPLOAD DATE	TIME	NAME OF STENOGRAPHER
11.06.2026	05.53 p.m.	Mr. S. S. Poul
Name of the Judge		HHJ Shri PA. SABLE (CR No.38)
Date of Pronouncement of Judgment/Order.		11.06.2026
Judgment/order signed by PO on		11.06.2026
Judgment/order uploaded on		11.06.2026