

**IN THE COURT OF THE SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE
AND JMFC, ARAKALAGUD**

O.S.NO.30/2024

PLAINTIFFS:

H.K.Subramanya and others

-Vs.-

DEFENDANTS:

Manju D.C & another

I S S U E S

1. Whether the plaintiffs proves that they are having absolute right over the suit schedule properties?
2. Whether the plaintiffs proves the alleged interference caused by the defendants?
3. Whether the plaintiffs proves that they are entitled for the direction against the revenue department to not to change the khatha in the name of the defendants in respect of the suit schedule properties as per the decree passed in O.S.No.44/2022 as sought for in the suit?
4. Whether the plaintiffs proves that the decree passed in O.S.No.44/2022 does not binds the rights of the plaintiff ?
5. Whether the defendants proves that the suit schedule Sy.No.114 is Kuluvadike Inam Land and the same is granted to their ancestors and they are in possession of the same and the suit schedule property is acquired by them as per the oral partition as contended in the written statement?
6. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for the reliefs as sought for the suit?
7. What order or decree?

Date:11.11.2024

**(NAGESH MURTHY B.K.)
SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE & JMFC,
ARAKALAGUD**