

**IN THE COURT OF THE SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE
AND JMFC, ARAKALAGUD**

O.S.NO.18/2021

PLAINTIFFS:

Jayalakshamma & Others

-Vs.-

DEFENDANTS:

Nagamma & Others

I S S U E S

1. Whether the plaintiffs proves that the suit schedule properties are the ancestral and joint family properties of plaintiffs and defendants No.1 to 17?
2. Whether the plaintiffs proves that they are entitle for ½ share in the suit schedule properties?
3. Whether the plaintiffs proves that the sale deed executed by the defendants No.1 to 14 in favour of defendants No.18 and 19 is bad in law?
4. Whether the defendants No.1 to 14 proves that proves there is no coparecenary properties nor their exists ancestral and joint family properties?
5. Whether the defendant No.13 proves that the Thimmashetty had acquired the properties on his own under the strength of a registered sale deed dated 22.06.1948 and also under the sale deed dated 31.12.1949 and there was no ancestral properties available for the family?
6. Whether the defendants No.1 to 14 proves that the family has no ancestral properties and the family was complete debt and later the Thimmashetty having came to know about the auction of the property had purchased the property on his own and the

property is neither ancestral nor a joint family property?

7. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for the relief as sought for the suit?
8. What order or decree?

Date: 27.01.2025

**(NAGESH MURTHY B.K.)
SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE & JMFC,
ARAKALAGUD**