

FIR No. 116 dated 08.09.2025
U/s 115(2), 351(1), 304(2) BNS
P.S. Mohkampura, Amritsar

State Vs. Mohit Singh @ Moti

Present: Sh. Ramesh Kumar, Advocate for applicant/accused.
Sh. Rupinder Singh, Ld. APP for the State.

1. Heard upon the bail application filed on behalf of applicant/accused **Mohit Singh @ Moti, S/o Bhag Singh**. It has been averred in the application that accused/applicant is in judicial custody since his date of arrest i.e. 23.02.2026 and accused/applicant has been falsely implicated in the present FIR due to enmity between the accused and complainant who is the neighbourer and also relative of accused/applicant. That as per the contents of FIR, no specific role is attributed to the accused/applicant nor any complaint was moved against him by any person regarding the false allegation of teasing/molestation as mentioned in this FIR and moreover, false FIR has been registered against the accused/applicant by leveling false allegations and facts. That simple injury has been attributed to the complainant as alleged in the FIR and nothing has been recovered from the accused/applicant. That police take long time to present the challan and the trial of the case will also take long time as such no useful purpose is to be served by keeping the applicant/accused behind the bars. It has been accordingly prayed that applicant/accused may kindly be released on bail.

2. Notice of the bail application was given to the State through learned APP for the State. However, neither any reply has been filed on behalf of the State nor has the Investigating Officer appeared before the Court. It is pertinent to mention that three opportunities, including today, were granted to the State to file reply but no reply has been filed till date. Today also, an exemption application on behalf of the Investigating Officer was moved, which was declined by the Court.

3. I have heard learned counsel for applicant/accused, learned APP for the State and have also gone through the file and other documents carefully.

4. Perusal of file shows that applicant/accused is already in judicial custody in the present case since long and he is not required for any custodial interrogation. Conclusion of trial will take its own time. Moreover, there is a general rule that “**Bail not Jail**”. Hence, in these circumstances, no useful purpose will be served by detaining the applicant/accused in jail anymore. As such, in the interest of justice, applicant/accused **Mohit Singh @ Moti, S/o Bhag Singh** is ordered to be released on bail in the present case on his furnishing **bail bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount**. Bail application stands disposed of accordingly. Copy of this bail order be sent to the Superintendent of concerned jail through e-mail for the purpose of conveying the same to the concerned accused *as directed vide order dated 31.01.2023 passed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in **Suo Moto Writ Petition (Crl.) no. 4 of 2021** Re.: Policy Strategy grant of Bail*.

5. Bail bonds not furnished. These papers be attached with the FIR/remand papers/challan.

Pronounced in Open Court:
Dated:27.04.2026

Garv, Steno

(Garima Gupta),
Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,
Amritsar, UID-PB0535