

Criminal Misc. Case No. 172 of 2026

CNR – WBCS01-000564-2026

Present: Sri Sudipta Kumar De

(J.O. CODE NO.WB-01136)

Chief Judge, City Sessions Court, Calcutta

Order No. 02 dated 11.08.2026

Today is fixed for hearing of the petition under section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 filed by the accused/petitioner, namely, **Paritosh Dutta** who is in custody in connection with **Muchipara P.S.** case no. **110** dated **03.06.2026** under sections **324(5)/329(4)/351(2)/61(2)** of the BNS, 2023 and **3** of the Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984.

Ld. Chief Public Prosecutor as well as Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner are present.

Investigating Officer is present with case diary.

Learned Advocate for the accused/petitioner submits that the accused/petitioner has been in custody for about 69 days and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that no incriminating article was seized from his physical possession. He further submits that he is neither involved in the alleged offence nor has he caused any damage to public property at any point of time, and that all the allegations levelled against him are false and baseless. He further submits that he is suffering from various ailments and has a fixed place of residence. So, he prays for bail of this accused/petitioner on any terms and conditions.

It is further submitted by Ld. advocate for the petitioner that no bail petitions are pending/disposed of before/by any upper forum.

Ld. Chief Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the prayer for bail made by the accused/petitioner and submits that the allegations against him are very serious in nature. It is further submitted that the accused/petitioner, in connivance with the other accused persons, committed the alleged crime and is directly involved in the commission of the offence. It is also submitted that a firearm and other incriminating materials have been recovered from his possession. Accordingly, the Ld. Chief Public Prosecutor prays for rejection of the bail application.

Perused the case record and the other materials available in the case diary.

Unfortunately, in the petition praying for bail, the sections 25(1-A)/35 of the Arms Act have not been mentioned by the accused and such conduct is not at all appreciable.

There is an allegation that a large quantity of soiled and damaged Indian currency notes, kept in a suitcase, were recovered from the active possession of the accused from the Union room of Surendra Nath College, allegedly kept under lock and key by this accused and another person. There are further allegations that firearms was also recovered.

It appears that investigation is at an initial stage and the co-accused is still at large.

Considering the seriousness of allegation, initial stage of investigation and the other materials available in the case diary as well as the case record, it does not appear to be a fit case to allow the prayer for bail of the accused/petitioner.

Accordingly, the bail prayer of the accused/petitioner **Paritosh Dutta** stands **rejected**.

Case diary be returned at once.

Misc. case is disposed of.

Let a copy of this order as well as the TCR be sent to the Court concerned.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the I.O through Ld. Chief Public Prosecutor for information.

Dictated and corrected by me,

Chief Judge
City Sessions Court, Calcutta.

Chief Judge
City Sessions Court, Calcutta.