

IV ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE HASSAN
(SIT AT CHANNARAYAPATNA)
Sessions Case: 236/2024

PW: 1

Witness present and duly sworn on : 31.07.2025.

Further Cross-examination by Smt. KSN-Deputy Defence
counsel for the accused :

24) Beside my land, there is Sy No.60 belongs to
Kalaiah and on the other side of my land there is Sy No.62

-14-

belongs to Revathi. It is partially true to suggest that there would be labourers in the neighbouring lands, witness volunteers that they used to come and go once in a while. It is true to suggest that in case of galata in villages, the residents visit the spot immediately. It is true to suggest that I used to visit my lands once in a week. It is true to suggest that I am not aware of visit of any other persons to my lands during other days of the week. It is false to suggest that immediately after entering my lands, I usually go near the farm house. I have not enquired the father-in-law of the Accused regarding the time of visit of accused to the house. It is false to suggest that I have not visited my lands at 3.30 pm on the date of incident.

25) I have not called the police immediately after seeing the dead body of Kempadevamma, for the reason that I did not had phone number of the Police Station and hence I personally visited the Police Station. The father-in-law of the accused has not intimated me over the phone regarding previous day incident of assault by the accused to the deceased.

-15-

26) It is true to suggest that ExP1-complaint is typewritten. I got typed the complaint at Hirisave. It is false to suggest that I have not given typewritten complaint to the Police Station. It is false to suggest that I have only signed the complaint prepared by the police.

27) I have given further statement to the police on 24.07.2024. In the said further statement I have stated about dispute between accused and the deceased on an allegation of illicit relationship between deceased and one Manjunath. After filing complaint police have visited the spot at about 5 pm, on the same day. I do not know the contents of the mahazar, but in my presence mahazar procedure was conducted. The mahazar procedure was conducted from 5.45 to 7.00 pm. It is false to suggest that mahazar procedure was not conducted at the spot in my presence. It is false to suggest that I have signed the mahazar prepared by the police at the Police Station. It is false to suggest that I have filed a false complaint against the accused person in order to avoid payment of his three months salary. It is false to suggest that there is no relationship between the incident and accused

-16-

person. It is false to suggest that accused has not committed any crime.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

IV Addl. District and Sessions Judge
Hassan (Sit at Channarayapatna)

IV ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE HASSAN
(SIT AT CHANNARAYAPATNA)
Sessions Case: 236/2024

PW: 2 (CW: 6)

Witness present and duly sworn on : 31.07.2025

Deposition of : Ravi
Father/husband name : Srinivas
Age : 37 years
Occupation : Driver
Resident of : Channarayapatna Town

Examination in chief by Public Prosecutor:

1) I know deceased Kempadevamma. She was working in the garden land of complaint. I know accused person. He is the husband of the deceased. I know the complainant-Venkatesh. I am working as Lorry driver under complainant since 5 years.

2) On 24.07.2024, complainant took me to Hassan hospital on account of ill-health of wife of complainant. I was taken as Driver to their car. While we were in Hassan, the accused continuously calling complainant over the phone by intimating that he is not willing to stay in their land. After

-2-

return to Channarayapatna, complainant intimated me to go to the lands to enquire accused about his phone calls. At 3.30 pm, we reached the lands of complainant. After reaching the lands, complainant firstly called accused, he has not received his phone call. Subsequently complainant called deceased Kempadevamma, she has also not received his phone calls. Thereafter complainant has went near the farm house situated in his lands and enquired the father-in-law of the accused about accused. He has informed that both accused and his wife have gone to lands to remove weeds. Later on myself and the complainant have called both accused and his wife, they have not replied. Hence we have searched for them in the lands and found broken maize plants at about 60 meters away from the farm house. Then we went there and saw a dead body of a woman in prone position. By seeing the dead body we came to know that it is the body of Kempadevamma. On verification we found rope in the neck of the dead body and limbs of the dead body is bending. There were nighty and jerkin on the dead body of the Kempadevamma.

-3-

3) We immediately approached the Police Station and informed them about the incident. Police have visited the spot. On seeing the position of the dead body, we came to know that it was a murder. After 2-3 days from the date of incident I came to know that the reason for the murder is alleged illicit relationship between deceased Kempadevamma and one Manjunath resident of Bengaluru. I also came to know that said Manjunath came to farm house and had one night stay therein.

4) Police have conducted mahazar at the spot. They have collected the blood stained mud and sample mud and sealed them. Forensic experts have also visited the spot. During the mahazar procedure police have taken photographs and videographed. The mahazar procedure was conducted from from 5.30 pm to 7.30 pm. The witness identified his signature present on the ExP2-mahazar and marked as ExP2(b). The witness also identified the ExP3 photographs and deposed that same photographs were taken by the police during mahazar procedure. The witness also admits the ExP4-Compact Disc played in the open court. I can identify

-4-

the rope that was present in the neck of the dead body. Witness identified the MO.6-rope now present before the court. I can identify the cloths and jerkin that were present on the dead body. The witness identified MO.3-Jerkin and MO.4-nighty and MO.5-black colour blouse now present before the court. The witness identified the MO.1-blood stained mud and MO.2 control mud not present before the court.

Cross-examination by Sri. KSN-Deputy defence counsel for the accused :

5) It is true to suggest that I do not have knowledge of personal life of accused and his wife. It is true to suggest that I was only present along with complainant and I have not enquired father of the deceased. At the time of mahazar I was standing 5 feet away from the dead body. On the dead body there was flower designed nighty and blue jerkin with printed words, 'Navodaya'. It is false to suggest that police have not seized any articles in my presence. It is false to suggest that I have signed the mahazar in the Police Station. There is farm house towards eastern side of the spot of

-5-

mahazar and towards all other 3 sides there exists land belongs to complainant. It is false to suggest that there will be power cut in the villages. It is false to suggest that police have not conducted mahazar procedure and not taken print out in the laptop at the spot.

6) I came to know about the reason for the murder of Kempadevamma in the Police Station after 2-3 days from the incident. It is false to suggest that even though I do not have knowledge of incident, I am deposing falsely at the instance of complainant and police officer. It is false to suggest that there is no relationship between the murder and the accused person. It is false to suggest that complainant has filed a false complaint against accused person to avoid payment of salary to the accused. It is false to suggest that I am deposing falsehood in support of the false complaint filed by the complainant.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

IV Addl. District and Sessions Judge
Hassan (Sit at Channarayapatna)