

-4-

IV ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE HASSAN
(SIT AT CHANNARAYAPATNA)
MVC No: 2030/2024

RW: 3

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 24.06.2026

Cross-examination by Sri. MSD Advocate for the claimant:

1) It is true to suggest that myself and the R1 are from the same village. It is true to suggest that I know the respondent No.1 since childhood and we are friends.

2) It is true to suggest that I came to know about the filing of criminal case against R1 for causing accident to Prasanna Kumar. I do not know about in which place R1 was attending coolie work on the date of accident. I came to know about the accident from my villager, I do not know the name of the villager. At the time of accident, I was in my Tea canteen situated at Bagur Bus stand. I do not remember the exact time at which I received the information of accident.

3) It is true to suggest that I have not personally witnessed the accident. It is true to suggest that at the time I

-5-

reached the accident spot, more persons were gathered there. I do not know the names of the persons assembled at the spot.

4) R1 had also suffered injuries in the accident. I have not taken photograph at the spot. Myself, another person and public have shifted Prasanna Kumar and R1 to the hospital in an Autorickshaw. Thereafter we have shifted R1 to the Adichunchanagiri hospital in an ambulance, I do not know the registration number of the ambulance. It is false to suggest that Prasanna Kumar died due to the rash and negligent riding of Motorcycle by the R1, witness further states that I came to know from the persons gathered at the accident spot that, Prasanna Kumar was riding his Motorbike without head light and without wearing the helmet and suffered the accident. It is false to suggest that I am deposing false in favour of the R1 in order to help him.

Cross-examination by Sri. ML Advocate for the Respondent

No.2:

(Submits no cross-examination).

-6-

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

IV Addl District and Sessions Judge

Hassan (Sit at Channarayapatna)

-4-

IV ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE HASSAN
(SIT AT CHANNARAYAPATNA)
MVC No: 2030/2024

RW: 4

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 24.06.2026

Cross-examination by Sri. MSD Advocate for the claimant:

1) It is true to suggest that myself and the R1 are from the same village. It is true to suggest that I know the respondent No.1 since childhood and we are friends.

2) It is true to suggest that I came to know about the filing of criminal case against R1 for causing accident to Prasanna Kumar. I do not know about in which place R1 was attending coolie work on the date of accident. I came to know about the accident from the general public at about 8.00 pm. At the time of accident, I was in my house situated on the same road about 500 meters away.

3) It is true to suggest that I have not personally witnessed the accident. It is true to suggest that at the time I reached the accident spot, 25 to 30 persons were gathered

-5-

there. I do not know the names of the persons assembled at the spot.

4) R1 had also suffered injuries in the accident. I have not taken photograph at the spot. Myself, father of the Prasanna Kumar and public have shifted Prasanna Kumar and R1 to the Government hospital, Channarayapatna in an Autorickshaw. Thereafter I came to know that R1 was shifted to the Adichunchanagiri hospital. It is true to suggest that Prasanna Kumar died due to the rash and negligent riding of Motorcycle by the R1. It is false to suggest that I am deposing false in favour of the R1 in order to help him.

Cross-examination by Sri. ML Advocate for the Respondent No.2:

(Submits no cross-examination)

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

IV Addl District and Sessions Judge
Hassan (Sit at Channarayapatna)