

-4-

IV ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE HASSAN (SIT AT
CHANNARAYAPATNA)
MVC No: 613/2025

PW: 2

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 15.07.2026.

Examination in chief by way of Affidavit of Petitioner:

I have filed my affidavit in lieu of examination in chief. The contents of affidavit are true and correct. I have given instructions to prepare the affidavit. It bears my signature.

I have produced the documents and marked as under:

Exp12: The attested copy of MLC intimation

Exp13: Medico-Legal register extract

Exp14: Case file

Exp15: Old X-ray

Exp16: Recent X-ray

Cross-examination by Sri. ML Advocate for Respondent No.2

:

1) The age of injury was within one hour. I have no information about first aid treatment taken by the claimant before visiting our hospital. It is true to suggest that immediately after patient visits our hospital with a history of

-5-

assault or accident, Hospital authorities shall give intimation to the police. Police intimation was given on the same day to Channarayapatna Town police. It is true to suggest that the police have not affixed their seal to the MLC intimation.

2) It is true to suggest that immediately after the receipt of intimation from police used to visit the hospital. I have no information about immediate visit of the police to the hospital in this case.

3) The claimant was in conscious state at the time of visit. It is true to suggest that hospital authorities will used to write down all the information given by the patient in conscious state. It is true to suggest that in MLC register, I have written that accident between bike to bike, but not mentioned the details of the vehicles.

4) It is true to suggest that in MLC register extract the information written in the first para and the last para in one handwriting and in the middle para, the handwriting is different. It is false to suggest that we have created the

-6-

writings in the middle para of the MLC register extract in order to help the claimant to get compensation.

5) Claimant was treated with minor surgery with no implants. It is true to suggest that as per the recent examination, the fractures suffered by the claimant is completely healed and united. It is false to suggest that I have wrongly assessed the permanent disability and mentioned the exaggerated figures. It is false to suggest that even though claimant has not suffered any form of permanent disability, I am giving false evidence on the basis of false assessment in order to help the claimant.

6) Claimant has not given any information to me about his occupation.

Cross-examination by the Respondent No.1:

(Since placed exparte, no cross-examination).

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

IV Addl District and Sessions Judge
Hassan (Sit at Channarayapatna)