

-6-

IV ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE HASSAN
(SIT AT CHANNARAYAPATNA)

MVC No: 2030/2024

RW: 1

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 10.02.2026.

Cross-examination by Sri. MSD for the claimant :

2) It is true to suggest that bike bearing registration No.KA-16-Q-0552 is standing in my name. It is true to suggest that FIR is lodged against me alleging that on 09.09.2018 at 8.30 pm I have caused accident to the Motorbike of deceased Prasanna Kumar. It is true to suggest that I am appearing in CC No.1529/2018 pending before I Additional Civil Judge and JMFC Court, Channarayapatna. It is true to suggest that CC No.1529/2018 is still pending.

3) I do not have knowledge of death of Prasanna Kumar on 13.09.2018. It is false to suggest that Prasanna Kumar has died in the accident due to rash and negligent driving of Motorbike by me. It is false to suggest that I am giving false evidence denying allegations.

4) I have no knowledge about the medical expenses incurred by Prasanna Kumar before his death. I am not aware

of income of the deceased Prasanna Kumar before the accident was Rs.50,000/- per month from Dairy farming and agriculture. I do not know that after the accidental death of Prasanna Kumar, his family members are in financial crisis. I do not know that due to the death of Prasanna Kumar, the education of claimant Nos.2 and 3 is hampered. It is true to suggest that due to the death of Prasanna Kumar, his children have lost love and affection of father.

5) I do not know the time of reaching hospital after the accident, because I was unconscious. I do not know the date of admission to BGS hospital, Bellur Cross, because I was in unconscious state. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered any injuries in the accident.

6) It is true to suggest that in my evidence affidavit, I have mentioned that Ramesh and others have shifted me to the hospital, witness volunteers that Yogesh also shifted me to the hospital. It is false to suggest that in my statement of objections, I have not mentioned regarding shifting to hospital by Ramesh and now I have created a false story while filing evidence affidavit. It is false to suggest that I have created a

-8-

RW: 1

false defence in my evidence affidavit which is not at all mentioned in my statement of objection. It is false to suggest that I am giving false evidence on production of created medical bills. For the suggestion that myself and the Insurance Company are liable to pay compensation to the family members of the Prasanna Kumar, witness answers that he is a Coolie worker and has no money to pay the compensation. It is false to suggest that I am giving false evidence in order to avoid payment of compensation.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

IV Addl District and Sessions Judge
Hassan (Sit at Channarayapatna)