

-3-

IV ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE HASSAN (SIT AT
CHANNARAYAPATNA)
MVC No: 1201/2025

PW: 2

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 09.06.2026.

Examination in chief by way of Affidavit of Petitioner:

I have filed my affidavit in lieu of examination in chief. The contents of affidavit are true and correct. I have given instructions to prepare the affidavit. It bears my signature.

I have produced the documents and marked as under:

Exp16: The attested copy of MLC register extract

Exp17: Case file

Exp18: Old X-rays (4 in number)

Exp19: Recent X-ray

Exp20: CT film

Cross-examination by Sri. STS Advocate for Respondent No.2

:

1) I have no information about first aid treatment taken by the claimant before visiting my hospital. It is true to suggest that in a usual course, we have to mention the registration numbers of the vehicles involved in the accident,

-4-

place, time and date of accident, witness further states that in that emergent movement there will be chances of mentioning wrong registration numbers and hence we usually not mention the vehicle numbers. It is true to suggest that except vehicle numbers, I have mentioned place, time and date of accident. I have mentioned the occupation of the claimant in the case sheet.

2) It is true to suggest that Exp8-wound certificate is issued by our hospital. On the date of discharge i.e., on 15.02.2025, check X-ray was done. As per the check X-ray the alignment of the fractured bone was satisfactory. It is true to suggest that as per my evidence affidavit, after discharge on 15.02.2025, claimant has again visited the hospital on 01.04.2026, witness further states that in between claimant has visited for follow up treatment on OPD basis and we have not got documents. In my affidavit evidence, I have not mentioned the dates of follow up treatment taken by the claimant on OPD basis. It is false to suggest that after discharge claimant never turned up to our hospital for follow up treatment.

-5-

3) It is true to suggest that on 01.04.2026, claimant visited our hospital only for the purpose of assessment of disability. I have verified the previous X-rays and follow up treatment taken on OPD basis for the purpose of subject him to clinical assessment. At that time I have prepared rough calculation of assessment. I have not submitted rough calculation sheet along with case file. On examination of claimant recently on 01.04.2026, it is found that alignment of dislocated bone of the claimant is satisfactory with restriction in movement. It is false to suggest that when the alignment is satisfactory, there will be no possibility of restricted movements as assessed by me. It is false to suggest that claimant has not got restrictions in movements as assessed by me in my evidence affidavit. It is false to suggest that the assessment conducted by me is not in accordance with the guidelines of the Central Government.

4) I have not produced the estimate of expenses towards future surgery. It is true to suggest that the treatment provided by me to the claimant is satisfactory. It is false to suggest that even though claimant is not suffering from any form of

-6-

disability, I have made false assessment with exaggerated figures in order to help the claimant.

Cross-examination by Sri. VGK for MSP Advocate for the R1:
(L/c submits no cross-examination).

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

IV Addl District and Sessions Judge
Hassan (Sit at Channarayapatna)