

IV ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE HASSAN
(SIT AT CHANNARAYAPATNA)
MVC No. 765/2025

PW: 1

Witness present and duly sworn on : 08.04.2026

Cross-examination by Sri. ML Advocate for the respondent

No.2 :

1) On the date of accident, I was proceeding alone from Kallenahalli to Agrahara Gate by walk. Kallenahalli Gate is

situated towards left side of the Channarayapatna to Holenarasipura road. At that time no vehicles or persons were passing through the road. The time of accident was around 6.30 to 7.00 pm. The vehicle came from the hind side. After the accident, the offending vehicle was parked at the spot. The rider fled away from the spot. I was shifted to Gross Life hospital in an Auto by one Raghu. When I reached the hospital the time was around 7.00 pm. Police have visited the hospital on next day. I have given statement to the police at the hospital.

2) I do not know the 1st respondent-Manjunatha S/o Rangaswamy of Singenahalli Village. It is false to suggest that R1 is my relative. It is false to suggest that I suffered self fall while proceeding in the Motorbike belongs to R1. It is false to suggest that I have created a story of accident caused due to hit by Motorbike in order to get the compensation.

3) It is true to suggest that in Agrahara Gate government hospital is situated. I was not shifted to the government hospital, Agrahara Gate. I do not know that Gross Life hospital is a private hospital. It is false to suggest that I have

created a false medial bill in collusion with a private hospital and filed a false complaint after one day from the alleged accident.

4) I was admitted as inpatient in Gross life hospital for 3 to 4 days. It is false to suggest that accidental injuries are healed. I was doing agriculture before the accident. The lands are standing in the name of my father. It is true to suggest that I have not produced documents to show that lands are standing in the name of my father and we were deriving income from agriculture. It is false to suggest that I am giving false evidence in respect of my income.

5) It is false to suggest that in connection with this accident, the Insurance Company has visited the house of R1 and my house. It is false to suggest that since accident taken place due to my fault, R2-Insurance Company is not liable to pay compensation. It is false to suggest that though the R1 is my relative, however I am intentionally denying the relationship.

-7-

PW: 1

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

IV Addl. District and Sessions Judge
Hassan (Sit at Channarayapatna)