

-5-

IV ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE HASSAN
(SIT AT CHANNARAYAPATNA)
MVC No. 644/2025

PW: 1

Witness present and duly sworn on : 19.11.2025

Cross-examination by Sri. ML Advocate for the respondent
No.2:

1) On the date of accident, I was returning from Kaduru alone by taking Bus. I alighted the bus at Hirisave bus stand at 11.30 pm. I have telephoned my brother to bring vehicle to the Hirisave bus stand and instead of waiting in the bus stand, I was proceeding towards Akkanahalli by walk. It is true to suggest that there was no vehicle movement in the road leading to Akkanahalli. A bike came from the side of Hirisave and hit me from the back side. After the accident the vehicle was lying on the spot. After the accident I was at the spot for half an hour. Thereafter the bike rider and my brother have took me to the Hirisave govt., hospital. Due to my leg injury and for the reason of no other persons available in the family to support me, it was not possible for me to file the complaint immediately. It is true to suggest that since alleged accident taken place during midnight, there are no eye witnesses. I was

-6-

not in a conscious state while I was taken to Hirisave govt., hospital. My brother has given information about the accident and vehicle details at Hirisave govt hospital.

2) When I regained the conscious, I was at govt hospital, Channarayapatna. After 2 to 3 days, police have visited the hospital and took my statement. I have not given statement to the doctor of Channarayapatna govt., hospital. It is true to suggest that in ExP11, the history of injuries is written as 'self fall', witness volunteers that by oversight the hospital authorities written like that. It is false to suggest that even though I have suffered injuries in some other incident, I have filed a false complaint after three days in collusion with the owner of the bike. It is false to suggest that there is no nexus between the injuries suffered by me and the motorbike.

3) I have taken treatment as inpatient in the govt hospital Channarayapatna for one week. It is true to suggest that I have taken treatment in the two govt., hospitals. It is false to suggest that in govt hospitals free treatment and medicine will

be provided. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred any medical expenses. It is false to suggest that the accidental

-7-

injuries are healed. It is true to suggest that now I can walk without support of stick.

4) My age is 55 years. It is true to suggest that as per ExP12-Aadhar card, my age is 55 years. The witness admits the photocopy of the Aadhar card confronted to him and states that he has not given information to prepare the said Aadhar card. Since the witness admits the copy of the Aadhar card, it is marked as **ExR1**. It is true to suggest that the Aadhar number mentioned in the ExR1 and ExP12 is one and the same. It is false to suggest that according to ExR1, as on the date of accident, I was aged 63 years. It is false to suggest that even though I was aged 63 years as on the date of accident, I am deposing falsehood in order to get more compensation.

5) Before the accident, I was driving Tata Ace vehicle. Now I am unable to do any work. I have not taken DL to drive Tata Ace vehicle. It is false to suggest that there is no loss of income. It is false to suggest that since I suffered injuries in

some other incident, the Insurance Company is not liable to pay compensation.

-8-

Re-examination by Sri. AGD Advocate for the claimant :

6) My date of birth mentioned in ExP12 is correct and proper.

Further Cross-examination by Sri. ML Advocate for the R2:

7) It is false to suggest that according to ExR1, my age is 63 years and I am deposing falsehood.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

IV Addl. District and Sessions Judge
Hassan (Sit at Channarayapatna)