

6 Bail Matters 820/2026
STATE Vs. AMAAN AHMED ALIAS ROCKY
FIR No.142/2026
PS (Neb Sarai)

16.05.2026

Vide order No. Judl.II/F.102/South/saket/2026/19400-19436, New Delhi dated 05.05.2026, issued by Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge (South), this Court has been authorised U/s 8(8) of the BNSS to dispose of bail/urgent applications pertaining to the police stations / investigating agencies, as mentioned in the said order.

Application u/s 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for the grant of regular bail filed on behalf of the applicant.

Present: Sh. Dheeraj Kumar, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Vipin Choudhary, Ld. counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO/ SI Meenakshi is present.
Victim is present.

Arguments heard.

This application has been moved on behalf of applicant/ accused for grant of regular bail.

It has been submitted on behalf of applicant/accused that he has been falsely implicated in this case he and has committed no offence. It is submitted that applicant and the prosecutrix were well acquainted since their school days and they shared a close relationship between them which was known to both families also. It is further submitted that both families were in discussion for solemnization of marriage between the complainant/ victim and accused. It is further stated that the
Contd.....

present FIR is inherently improbable, exaggerated and suffer from material contradictions. It is submitted that no cogent, reliable or legally admissible evidence has been placed on record to substantiate the grave allegations. It is submitted that prior to registration of the present FIR, the prosecutrix had also lodged a complaint alleging stalking against the appellant alleging stalking by him, however, in the said complaint no allegations of any physical or sexual abuse were made. Further, the allegation of stalking and coercion are vague and unsupported by any independent or corroborative evidence. No call record, location data, or independent witnesses have been produced to substantiate the same. The applicant is in JC since 04.04.2026 and has been granted interim bail on 08.05.2026 till 16.05.2026. hence, no useful purpose will be served by his continued incarceration, particularly when investigation is substantially complete. It is prayed that the applicant be released on bail.

Ld. Substitute Addl. PP has vehemently opposed the bail application moved by the Ld. Counsel for the accused submitting that the alleged offence is serious in nature. He argued that applicant/ accused may harm and threaten the complainant and his family members. It is prayed that bail may not be granted and application may be dismissed.

I have considered the submission of Ld. Counsel as well as Ld. Substitute Addl. PP and have gone through the record.

Contd.....

Brief facts of the case are that present case has been registered on the basis of the complaint of the victim, wherein serious allegations of sexual assault, repeated harassment, intimidation and exploitation have been levelled against the accused. The accused / applicant was known to the victim through family acquaintance and he gradually developed contact with her. Taking advantage of such familiarity, the accused established communication with the victim and started meeting her. It is alleged that the accused / applicant on the pretext of relationship and false assurance, committed repeated sexual acts with the victim against her will and thereafter started exploiting her. It is alleged that accused recorded objectionable videos/photos of the victim and used the same as a tool to blackmail her. The accused continuously threatened the victim that the said videos would be made viral on social media platforms including Instagram and Whatsapp.

During investigation the MLC of both the victim and accused/ applicant has been conducted. The mobile of accused / applicant has been seized. Investigation, if any, is pending only on the part of victim and not of accused. There is no likelihood of any threat to the victim, if accused is released on bail, as the applicant herself during the course of argument stated that now she has no threat or pressure from accused and he may be released on bail.

Considering the aforesaid and in the facts and

Contd.....

circumstances, I do not find any reason to decline bail for this accused. The bail of accused is allowed with following directions:-

1. Accused shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond and surety bond to the tune of Rs. 20,000/- to the satisfaction of the concerned JMFC/ Duty JMFC/ Link JMFC.
2. He shall make himself available to the IO whenever he requires.
3. The applicant/accused shall not flee from justice.
4. Accused shall not threaten or contact in any manner to the prosecution witnesses.
5. The accused shall not hamper/tamper with the evidence.
6. In case of change of address, applicant shall immediately furnish his fresh address.
7. The accused shall not indulge in any similar kind of offence or any other offence.
8. In case applicant/ accused is found to be violating any of the conditions, the same shall be a ground for cancellation of bail and complainant shall be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail.

Bail application stands disposed of accordingly.

Nothing expressed herein shall have any bearing on the merits of the case.

A copy of this order be given Dasti to both the sides.

(Purshotam Pathak)
ASJ-05(South), Saket Courts, Delhi
16.05.2026