

CS No.63/17

M/s Brawn Laboratories Ltd. Vs. Oriental Insurance CO.

21.02.2017

Present : Plaintiff – by Sh. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate.

D1- By Sh. Samyak, Advocate.

D2 – not served for want of proper address.

Plaintiff is directed to furnish correct address of D2 and D2 be served again by all modes.

D1 counsel submits that there is an IA pending u/o 8 rule 1 of CPC. Heard on the IA.

Orders on IA u/o 8 rule 1 of CPC :

D1 submits that the delay occurred in filing the WS since the summons were served in their Divisional Office No. 14 and the legal practices are handled in their Regional Office No.2 and there is a delay. From the record, it is seen that this IA is superfluous on record since the WS is filed in time i.e. on 27.1.2016 and the summons were served on 4.1.2016. Thus, the IA stands disposed of.

Orders on IA dated 18.7.2016 filed by the plaintiff :

By this IA u/s 151 of CPC the second address of D2 is furnished alongwith the amended memo of parties. Heard. The IA is allowed. Let summons be issued at the second address also of D2 and call on 02.05.2017.

(A.S. Jayachandra)
District & Sessions Judge
Shahdara District, Delhi/21.02.2017