

**IN THE COURT OF PUNEET SEHGAL
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE : HISAR.
[UID : HR-0183]**

Bail application No. : 236 of 2026
Date of Institution : 28.07.2026
CNR No. : HRHS01009105-2026
CIS No. : BA-2238-2026
Date of order : 03.08.2026

Pritam son of Sant Raj resident of Julana Road, near Mali Wali Bus stand
Farmana Khas, District Rohtak.

.....Applicant-accused

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

FIR No. : 62 dated 05.02.2026
Under Sections : 316(5), 336(3), 340, 61 of
BNS, 2023
Police Station : Barwala.

**APPLICATION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL
UNDER SECTION 482 BNSS**

Argued by :

Shri Vikram Singh Khatkar, counsel for the applicant-
accused.
Sh. Karan Singh, Public Prosecutor for the State.

ORDER :

This present application under Section 482 BNSS seeking
anticipatory bail has been moved by applicant Pritam in case FIR No.62
dated 05.02.2026, for the commission of offences punishable under

(Puneet Sehgal)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Hisar(UID No.HR-0183)
03.08.2026

Sections 316(5), 336(3), 340, 61 BNS, registered at Police Station Barwala.

2. It has been averred that the applicant is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in this case. As per prosecution allegations no case is made out against the petitioner. The contents of the FIR are highly unnatural, improbable and cannot be believed to be true by the stretch of imagination. There is no independent corroboration to the version of the prosecution. The name of the petitioner has been coined showing him to be employee of the alleged company whereas he was only a simple employee and all the works of approval etc. was to be done by the higher authorities. The petitioner has not connived in the alleged offence. Nothing incriminating is to be recovered from the possession of petitioner. As such, the custodial interrogation of petitioner is not required by the police. The petitioner undertakes to join the investigation of the case as and when directed by the Court or by police to do so. The petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court. Hence, a prayer has been made for exercising the extraordinary jurisdiction of Section 482 BNSS for releasing the accused on pre-arrest bail.

3. Upon being issued notice prosecution filed reply alleging that on 19.12.2025, complainant Nitin Kumar moved a complaint in police station Barwala alleged therein that he is working as Area Sales Manager,

in Haryana Tata Capital Ltd. Barwala. Khushi Ram, Mohit Gupta, Rajesh Behl and Pritam Munde were posted in their Barwala Branch. They in collusion with Anu and Nisha and some other persons prepared 19 forged documents and in the month of August 2024 embezzled an amount of Rs. 9,35,000/- from the company by passing bogus loans. Rajesh and Pritam were posted as officers and their job was to collect the documents from the customers and to upload the details of customer on the glow software of the company. Rajesh in collusion with Anu and Nisha has uploaded forged documents of 8 persons of the resident of village Nangthala. Pritam in collusion with Anu and Nisha has uploaded 8 forged documents of 8 persons of the resident of village Ghirai. Khushi Ram Branch Manager prepared forged documents of three persons and got uploaded through Sumit Kumar EMP. Mohit Gupta was posted as Quality Executive, whose job is to visit the house of customers and to attest the original documents i.e. voter ID, Aadhar Card, bank Passbook etc. and to approve the loan. They all have committed fraud with the company. On the basis of which FIR under Sections 316(5), 336(3), 340, 61 BNS was lodged.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor for the State has argued that in the present case the accused in collusion with co-accused has embezzled Rs.9,35,000/- of the company. He has argued that the police has to effect recovery from the accused and specific role has been attributed to

accused.

5. On the other hand, learned defence counsel has argued that it is a case of false implication of the accused. The work of petitioner was only to upload the forms in the software of the company. He was working as computer operator in the said company.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant-accused and learned Public Prosecutor for the State and also gone through the record carefully.

7. At this stage, there is an inordinate delay of 48 days in registration of the FIR. The delay has not been substantially explained. The petitioner was posted as Computer Operator in Haryana Tata Capital Limited, Barwala and his work was only to upload the forms of customer who applied for loan. Whether accused was involved in the conspiracy cannot be commented at this stage and it is a matter of evidentiary appreciation. Accused is ready and willing to cooperate. Therefore, in the light of the above said observations it is the fit case for releasing the accused on interim anticipatory bail at this stage.

8. In the given scenario, the court thinks it proper, without commenting on the merits, to grant interim protection to enable him to join the investigation and co-operate. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing of bail bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of

Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer. The applicant shall abide by the conditions contained in Sub-Section (2) of Section 438 Cr.P.C. The applicant is required to join the investigation and the investigating officer shall submit the status report on 05.08.2026. If the applicant fail to comply and co-operate with the Investigating Agency, this order will stand vacated.

9. However, anything expressed above to decide the present bail application, would have any bearing on the merits of the case.

Pronounced in open Court.
Dated : 03.08.2026

Raj Kumar Ghai, SG-1

(Puneet Sehgal)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Hisar. [UID : HR0183]

Note: Certified that this order consists of 5 pages and each page has been checked and signed by me.

(Puneet Sehgal)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Hisar. [UID : HR0183]

(Puneet Sehgal)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Hisar(UID No.HR-0183)
03.08.2026

Argued by :

Shri Amandeep, counsel for the applicant-accused.
Sh. Karan Singh, Public Prosecutor for the State.

Reply to the bail application is filed. Arguments heard. Vide separate detailed order of even date, interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the applicant. Now to come upon 05.08.2026 for filing status report by the Investigating Officer.

Dated : 03.08.2026.

(Puneet Sehgal)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Hisar. [UID : HR0183]

(Puneet Sehgal)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Hisar(UID No.HR-0183)
03.08.2026