

CASE No:NACT-4917-2024

HDFC Vs. Sandeep

Present Sh. Sachin Jangra counsel for complainant

Report of SHO concerned received back with the report of “not found” and correct address be given. Perusal of the file showcases that the given address is correct and as warrants have been earlier executed at the said address. After a near lapse of three months, the report of incorrect address without further information cannot be accepted. The concerned SHO is directed to provide necessary information along with statement of family members of the accused’s present residence. Since report received vide order dated 26.5.2026 so reflects that the accused has shifted abroad. Now to come up on 8.9.2026 for report of SHO. **Tamili is directed to duly execute the process of court. A red ink note be given that in case the same remains unexecuted, the executing official shall appear in the Court on the date fixed to explain about the efforts made by him for execution of the warrants.**

Date of Order: 11.8.2026
Baljeet Singh Stenographer-III

(Naina Jindal)
Judicial Magistrate - Ist Class, Hisar
UID NO . HR00764