

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND
JMFC, GADAG**

PRESENT

**SRI.G.R.SHETTAR., B.com. LL.B (Spl)
PRL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND CJM, GADAG
C/C ADDL.SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, GADAG**

DATED THIS 18TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2025

O.S.No.232/2024

PLAINTIFF:

Muralidhar S/o Anantrao Inamdar,
Age: 51 years, Occ: Teacher,
R/o Building No.2A, Brindavan,
C.H.S. Prateeksha Nagar, Sayan,
Mumbai – 400022
M: 8369141041,
Presently r/o Near Bus Stand,
Beladadi, Tq:Dist:Gadag.

(R/by Sri V.S.Kulakarni. Advocate)

Vs

DEFENDANTS:

1. Anuradha W/o Shyamsundar Inamdar,
Age: 49 years, Occ: Household,
R/o H.No.26/1820, Abhudaya Nagar,
Samadhar Co-Operatyive Housing Socieity,
Kalachouki, Mumbai – 400033 Maharashtra,
M: 9322184579

2. Chaitanya D/o Shyamsundar Inamdar,
Age: 27 years, Occ: Private Employee,
R/o H.No.26/1820, Abhudaya Nagar,
Samadhar Co-Operatyive Housing Socieity,
Kalachouki, Mumbai – 400033 Maharashtra,
M: 9322184579
3. Anish S/o Shyamsundar Inamdar,
Age: 23 years, Occ: Private Employee,
R/o H.No.26/1820, Abhudaya Nagar,
Samadhar Co-Operatyive Housing Socieity,
Kalachouki, Mumbai – 400033 Maharashtra,
M: 9322184579
4. Yogesh S/o Shyamsundar Inamdar,
Age: 23 years, Occ: Private Employee,
R/o H.No.26/1820, Abhudaya Nagar,
Samadhar Co-Operatyive Housing Socieity,
Kalachouki, Mumbai – 400033 Maharashtra,
M: 9322184579

(D-1 to 4 R/by Sri S.K.R. Advocate)
(D-7 R/by Sri T.V.H. Advocate)

PARTIES to I.A. No.1

APPLICANT:

PLAINTIFF

Muralidhar S/o Anantaraao Inamdar

Vs

OPPONENTS:

DEFENDANTS:

Anuradha W/o Shyamsundar Inamdar and
Others

-0o0-

PARTIES to I.A. No.2**APPLICANT:****PLAINTIFF**

Muralidhar S/o Anantaraao Inamdar

Vs**OPPONENTS:****DEFENDANTS:**Anuradha W/o Shyamsundar Inamdar and
Others

i.	Provision under which the applications are filed	1) IA No.1 – U/O 6 Rule 17 R/w Sec.151 CPC 2) IA No.2 – U/O 7 Rule 14 R/w Sec.151 of CPC
ii.	Relief sought for	1) Amendment 2) Production of documents
iii.	The date on which the applications are filed	1) IA No.1 – 01.03.2025 2) IA No.2 - 01.03.2025
iv.	Number of applications	I.A.No.1 and 2
v.	The date on which the objections is filed by different opponent/s	01.07.2025
vi.	The date on which the orders was passed on the said application.	18.10.2025

COMMON ORDERS ON I.A.1 FILED U/O 6 RULE 17
R/W SEC.151 OF CPC AND I.A.No.2 U/O 7 RULE 14
R/W SEC. 151 OF CPC

Applicant/plaintiff has filed I.A.No.1 U/O 6 Rule 17 R/w Sec.151 of CPC praying for permission to amend the plaint as per the proposed amendment.

Applicant/plaintiff has filed I.A.No.II U/o 7 Rule 14 R/w Sec.151 of CPC and produced list with documents.

2. I.A.No.1 is supported by the affidavit sworn to by the plaintiff, wherein it is contended that in the plaint, the valuation of the properties were not properly shown, as such the plaintiff is intending to add some sentences with regard to the valuation of the properties. The proposed amendment will neither change the nature of the suit nor affect the case of action. Hence, prayed for allowing the application.

3. I.A.No.II is supported by the affidavit sworn to by the plaintiff, wherein it is contended that the plaintiff has obtained some documents recently, which are

relevant documents for deciding the case. Hence, prayed for allowing the I.A.No.II.

4. Defendant No.1 and 3 have filed common objections to both the applications denying the applications as false. It is contended that the plaintiff has not shown the proper reasons for amendment and to production of documents at later stage. Hence, prayed for rejection of both applications.

5. Heard the arguments.

6. The points arise for my consideration are:

P O I N T S

- I. Whether the applicant/plaintiff has made out sufficient grounds to allow the I.A.No.1 filed U/O 6 Rule 17 R/w Sec.151 of CPC?
- II. Whether the applicant/plaintiff has made out sufficient grounds to allow the I.A.No.2 filed U/O 7 Rule 14 R/w Sec.151 of CPC?
- III. What order?

7. My findings on the above points are:

POINT No.I : In the Affirmative

POINT No.II : In the Affirmative

POINT No.II : As per the final order
for the following:

REASONS

8. **POINTS No.I & II**: Applicant/plaintiff has filed I.A.No.I praying for permitting him to amend the plaint as per the proposed amendment. It is contended that the plaintiff has not mentioned the valuation of the suit properties properly in the plaint, which is very necessary, the proposed amendment will neither change the nature of suit nor affect the cause of action. So far as I.A.No.2 is concerned, it is contended that the documents produced by the plaintiff with I.A.No.II are obtained recently, the same are relevant to decide the case of the plaintiff. The defendants have opposed both the applications and contended that no valid reasons are assigned for allowing the amendment application, same

is filed at later stage. So far as I.A.No.II is concerned, no valid reasons are assigned.

9. I have gone through the entire material carefully. In I.A.No.I, plaintiff has sought for permitting him to amend the plaint with regard to valuation of the suit properties and I.A.No.II is filed seeking permission to produce the documents. The defendant No.1 and 3 though opposed the applications, but not stated what injustice will be caused to them if the applications are allowed. In the application for amendment, the plaintiff has sought for adding some lines/words in the plaint, the plaintiff is not taking away any of the earlier pleadings, the proposed amendment will neither change the nature of the suit nor affect the cause of action. Therefore, I am of the considered opinion that the applicant/plaintiff has made out sufficient grounds to allow both the applications. Hence, point No.I and II are answered in the Affirmative.

10. **POINT No.III**: As discussed above, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

I.A.No.I filed by the applicant/plaintiff U/O 6 Rule 17 R/w Sec.151 of CPC is allowed.

The plaintiff is permitted to amend the plaint as per the proposed amendment.

I.A.No.II filed by the applicant/plaintiff U/O 7 Rule 17 R/w Sec.151 of CPC is allowed.

Documents produced by the applicant/plaintiff are taken on record.

No order as to cost.

(Dictated to Stenographer, transcribed and typed by him, printed, then corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this **18th day of October, 2025**)

Sd/- 18.10.2025

(G.R.SHETTAR)

**PRL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE & CJM., GADAG
C/c ADDL.SR.CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC,
GADAG**