

**BEFORE THE MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL
AT GADAG**

O.S.No.92/2015

THIS THE 4th DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2019

**Present: RAJANNA SANKANNANAVAR,
B.A., LL.B.,(Spl.)
Addl. Senior Civil Judge, Gadag.**

Plaintiff/s: 1. Sri. Ishwarappa S/o. Veerabhadrappa
Badiger (Dead) by L.Rs.

1a) Smt. Manjula W/o. Ishwarappa Badiger,
52 yrs.,

1b) Praveenkumar S/o. Ishwarappa Badiger,
26 yrs., Occu:Student

1c) Pradipkumar S/o. Ishwarappa Badiger,
24 yrs., Occu;Student

All are R/o. Hatalageri Tq& Dist. Gadag.

2. Smt. Vanajakshi W/o. Nagappa Kammar,
58 yrs., Occu:Household

R/o. Kalakeri Tq Mundaragi Dist.Gadag.

3. Ramachandr S/o. Veerabhadrappa
Badiger(Dead)

3a) Smt. Vanajakshi W/o. Ramachandr Badiger,
48 yrs., Occu:Job

- 3b) Pradeepakumar S/o. Ramachandra Badiger,
20 yrs., Occu:student both are R/o.
Hatalageri, Tq:Hubballi, Dist. Dharwad.
4. Sri. Devendrappa S/o. Veerabhadrapa
Badiger, 60 yrs., R/o. Hatalageri
Tq & Dist. Gadag
5. Sri Shivaputrappa S/o. Veerabhadrapa
Badiger, 52 yrs., :Private Job, R/o. Hatalageri
Tq&Dist. Gadag,
6. Sri.Shankrappa S/o. Veerabhadrapa
Badiger, 48 yrs., Occu:Agri. R/o. Hatalageri,
Tq & Dist. Gadag.

(Sri. B.V.Heggadal Adv. For plaintiffs)

V/s-

- Defendants : 1) Rajesab S/o. Davalasab Beleri, 50 yrs.,
Occ:Agril. R/o. Kurhattipete, Betageri,
Tq & Dist. Gadag.
2. Hasinabanu W/o. Babusab Beleri, 45 yrs.,
3. Mahammadaraf S/o. Babusab Beleri, 35 yrs.,
4. Jamalabi @ Roshanabi Babusab Beleri, 48 yrs.,
5. Lalabi S/o. Babubsab Beleri, 28 yrs.,
6. Fatima D/o. Babusab Beleri, 26 yrs.,
7. Fakruma D/o. Babusab Beleri, 24 yrs.,

8. Davalasab S/o. Babusab Beleri, 30 yrs.,
9. Reshma D/o. Babusab Beleri, 22 yrs.,
10. Husenabi D/o. Babusab Beleri, 20 yrs.,
All are R/o. Kurhatti Pete at:Betageri,
Tq & Dist. Gadag.
11. Haidarasab S/o. Davasab Beleri, 40 yrs.,
R/o.Kurhatti Pete, at Gadag-Betager
Tq & Dist. Gadag.
12. Davalabi W/o. Fakrusab Beleri, 40 yrs.,
13. Sahinabanu D/o. Fakrusab Beleri, 24 yrs.,
14. Husenabi D/o. Fakrusab Beleri, 22 yrs.,
15. Riyanabanu D/o. Fakrusab Beleri, 20 yrs.,
16. Ashis S/o. Fakrusab Beleri, 18 yrs.,
All are R/o. Kurahatti Pete, at Gadag-Betageri,
Tq & Dist.Gadag.

(Sri. M.A.Bijapur Adv. For Def.No.1 to 16)

J U D G M E N T

The Plaintiffs have filed present suit against the Defendants for relief of declaration and consequential relief of permanent injunction for restrained the

defendants from the interference of plaintiffs possession over the suit property and alternative relief of handed over the possession to the plaintiff of suit property.

The case of plaintiff is as follows:

2. The suit schedule properties are belongs to the family of plaintiffs, since from their ancestral the plaintiffs are in possession over the suit properties, the plaintiffs family's propositus by name Veerabhadrappa Badiger was given the suit properties to on Davalasab for "Lavani-cum-Kor", since from that time, said Davalasab was continued in the possession over the suit properties by growing the crops on virtue of 'Kor' and was giving an equal share of crops which were growing in the suit properties to the plaintiffs' ancestress. After the death of Davalasab and then his legal heirs who are

defendants herein are continued in possession of the suit properties and giving an equal share of crops to the plaintiffs as earlier. Such being the state of affairs, subsequently the defendants have stopped for giving the crops, and when enquired the same and then the defendants have denied the title over the suit properties of the plaintiffs. Thereafter, the plaintiffs have obtained the suit scheduled properties extracts and then came to know that the defendants have entered their names illegally. Hence, plaintiffs prayed to decree the suit.

3. In pursuance of notice to the Defendants, they have appeared through their Advocate and filed written statement.

4. The facts of the w.s. of defendants as follows:

In the w.s. the defendants have denied the entire

averments of plaint, and contended that all the suit properties at Sl.No.1 to 4 are the sub division from the original R.S.No.105 total measuring 18A-26G, Before the pot Hissa (Revision Settlement) in the year 1931, the entire sy.No.was sub divided as follows:

Sl.No.	R.S.No.	Area A.-G.
1	105/1	1-39
2	105/2	2-34
3	105/3	3-26
4	105/4A	2-12
5	105/4B	8-35

The predecessor-in-interest of the defendants one Hussainsab S/o. Davalsab Beleri @ Jirankali has purchased the sl.no.1 & 2 properties under different sale deed dtd.25.08.1928 from Veerabhadrappe S/o. Rudrappa Badiger (minor) reple by M/G mother smt. Kalavva W/o. Rudrappa Badiger and Smt. Iravva W/o. Doddamanappa Badigeri respectively,

5. Thereafter, there was revision of settlement of

land and new pot Hissa was recorded in Mutation Register in Form No.12 and same was entered in the final record of rights as follows:

Sl.No.	Sy.No.	Area
		A.-G.
1	105/1	4-03
2	105/2	3-26
3	105/3	1-31
4	105/4	9-06
		<u>0-11 PK</u>
		9-17

Thus, the Hussainsab has become the absolute owner in possession and enjoying the suit property sl.no.1 bearing R.S.No.105/1 to an extent 4A-03G, further contended that said Hussainsab S/o. Davalsab Beleri @ Jirankali has purchased R.S.No.105/4 to an extent of 9A-17G on 21.01.1937 from Veerabhadrappe S/o. Rudrappa Badiger (minor) repled. by M/G Smt. Kalavva W/o. Rudrappa Badiger and Shivappa S/o. Laxmappa Badigeri (Minor) repled. by M/G mother by name Smt. Devakka, further contended that sl.no.2 &

3 bearing R.S.No.105/2 measuring 3A-36G and R.S.No.105/3 measuring 1A-31G belonged to Smt. Iravva W/o. Doddamanappa Badiger and Ishwarappa S/o. Rudrappa Badiger respectively, but, they were not actually cultivating their lands personally, said Hussainsab S/o. Davalasab Beleri @ Jirankali was cultivating the lands personally and was in possession. Further contended that In the year 1948 said Hussainsab S/o. Davalsab Beleri @ Jirankali was declared as protected tenant of suit proprty sl.no.2 & 3 bearing R.S.No.105/2 measuring 3A-36G and R.S.No.105/3 measuring 1A-31G under sec. 3A of the Bombay Tenancy Act.

6. Said Hussainsab has been died on 03.11.1964 and his legal heirs namely Jeevanavva-(wife) and Davalsab-(son) got inherited to all the suit properties, thereafter, Jeevanavva has also died on

27.10.1965 and the name sole heir Davalsab continued in the RTC.

7. After commencement of the Karnataka Land Reforms Act 1961, the suit property at sl.no.2 & 3 i.e., bearing 105/2 measuring 3A-26G and R.S.No.105/3 1A 31G which were held by Davalsab after the death of Hssainsab were stands transferred to and vested to the Government and the tenant-Davalsab was registered as occupant of said lands vide order passed by the Tahasildar, Gadag in KLR.SR.No.30 dtd. 08.01.1976.

8. Said Davalsab has died on 25.10.1986 leaving behind him the defendants being his legal heirs and the defendants have inherited to the suit properties by succession and they are the absolute owners of suit properties and in possession and enjoyment of the same. Hence, the defendants pray to

dismiss the suit.

9. On the basis of above pleadings of the parties, this Court has framed issues as follows:

1. Whether the plaintiffs proves that, he is the absolute owner and in possession of the suit schedule properties?

2. Whether the plaintiffs further proves that, the alleged interference of the defendants over the suit schedule properties?

3. Whether the defendants proves that, they are the absolute owners and in possession of the suit schedule properties by way of inheritance as succeeded from their ancestors?

4. Whether the defendants proves that suit is barred by limitation?

5. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for the relief as sought in the plaint?

6. What order or award?

10. In order to proving the above issues, 5th plaintiff himself has been examined as P.W.1 and got

marked at Ex.P.1 to 20 and on behalf of the plaintiffs P.W.2 to P.W.6 have been examined. On the other hand 1st defendant himself has been examined as D.W.1 and got marked at Ex.D.1 to 19.

11. Heard arguments of both the sides on merits of the case and perused the record.

12. Now the findings of this Court on the above said Issues are answered in the;

Issue No.1	: In the partly Affirmative
Issue No.2	: In the negative
Issue No.3	: In the partly affirmative
Issue No.4	: In the negative
Issue No.5	: In the partly affirmative
Issue No.6	: As per final order for the following

REASONS

13. **I S S U E No.1 & 3**:- Both issues are interlinked together and hence both issues taken up for common discussion in order to avoid the repetition of discussion. The plaintiffs have filed present suit

against the defendants for relief of declaration and consequential relief of Permanent Injunction to restrained them for interference of possession of plaintiffs over the suit properties and alternative relief of possession of suit properties. Herein, In order to substantiate the pleadings of plaintiffs, the 5th plaintiff himself has been examined as P.W.1 in view affidavit, in the affidavit reiterated the averments of plaint, further P.W.1 has produced Four RTC Extracts with respect of Sl.No.1 to 4 of suit properties and got marked at Ex.P.1 to 4, copy of M.E.No.2352 at Ex.P.5 and M.E.No.12206 at Ex.P.7 which pertains to R.S.No.105/3, copy of M.E.No.12032 at Ex.P.6 which pertains to R.S.No.105/2, copy of M.E.No.10797 at Ex.P.10 which pertains to R.S.No.105/1, 105/2 and 105/3. Further, P.W.1 has produced G-tree at Ex.P.11 which is issued by V.A. of Betageri village which

belongs to plaintiffs family, as such, all these documents are undisputed and unchallenged.

14. Further, copy of M.E.No.5912 at Ex.P.8 pertains to R.S.No.548 which is not subject matter of the suit, copy of M.E.No.10787 at Ex.P.9 pertains to R.S.No.523/1 and 524/2 which are not subject matter of the suit.

15. As such, P.W.1 has produced copy of death Extract of Isharappa and Ramachandra got marked at Ex.P.12 and 13, as such, said Ishwarappa and Ramchandra are the brother of P.W.1 and 1st and 2nd sons of propositus by name Veerabhadrappa S/o. Rudrappa Badiger. As such, P.W.1 is the 4th son of said Veerabhadrappa. 4Th plaintiff is the 3rd son, 6th plaintiff is the 5th son of said Veerabhadrappa and the same are not in dispute.

16. As such, P.W.1 has produced R.T.C Extract of sl.no.2 & 3 of suit schedule properties and got marked at Ex.P.14 and 15, on perusal of the same, it is disclosed that after commencement Karnataka Land Reforms Act both properties vested with the Government and the same is also admits by the both parties in the suit.

17. Further, P.W.1 has produced copy of sale deed dtd.3.8.1928 and got marked at Ex.P.16, by perusing the same, the ancestors of plaintiffs were sold the sl.no.2 & 3 suit properties to one Hussenasab S/o. Davalasab and the same is also admits by the both parties in the suit and on the same the name of Hussensab was mutated as per Ex.P.17-M.E.No.1891. Ex.P.18, 19 are the photos and Ex.P.20 is the C.D., these documents are not relevant for consideration in case on hand.

18. Per contra, In order to substantiate the written statement by the defendants, the 1st Defendant himself has been examined as D.W.1 in view affidavit, in the affidavit reiterated the averments of W.S., as such D.W.1 is the son of Davalasab who is as stated supra. The D.W.1 has produced M.E.No.2358 at Ex.D.1 which disclosed that suit properties survey number 105 is total measuring 18A-37G and has been Pot Hiss as described in the plaint and the same is also undisputed and the same is admits by the parties in the suit.

19. Further, D.W.1 has produced M.E.No.4127 at Ex.D.2 with respect of R.S.No.105/4 which is sl.no.4 suit property and the same is disclosed that One Hussensab Davalasab Belerei was purchased said property on 21.01.1937 from Veerabhadrappa Rudrappa Badiger since minor Reptd, by his mother

by name Kalavva Betageri and Shivappa Laxmappa Badiger since minor Reptd, by his mother by name Devakka, as such, Ex.D.2 was mutated on bases sale deed and which produced by D.W.1 and got marked at Ex.D.18, by perusing the same it is also disclosed that said Hassanasab has been purchased the R.S.No.105/4 to an extent 9A-06G which is sl.no.4 of suit property as stated supra and the same is also admits by the parties in the suit. Thus, it is admitted fact that sl.no.4 property belongs to defendants family. The copy of M.E.No.10796 at Ex.D.3 pertains to R.S.No.225/2A which is not subject matter of the suit.

20. Now, Wherein, D.W.1 has produced copy of K.L.R. proceedings which includes Land Tribunal order, copy of Notices (2 in no's), copy of Form No.7 filed by Davalasab, copy of statement of Veerabhadrapa and Davalasab, copy of latter sent by

Spl.Tahasildar to one Davalasab and other two report of Spl.Tahasildar and V.A. respectively at Ex.D.4, Further special notice at Ex.D.5, copy of Form No.10 at Ex.D.6, copy compensation notice to Veerabhadrapa at Ex.D.7, copy of letter written by Veerabhadrapa at Ex.D.8 and 12 dtd.05.01.1981 and 24.11.1986 respectively, copy of Check list in KLRSR 30/Gadag at Ex.D.9, copy of order dtd.06.02.1981 and 06.01.1987 of Spl.Tahasildar at Ex.D.10 and Ex.D.13 respectively, copy of receipt at Ex.D.11, copy of payment receipt at Ex.D.14 and Ex.D.15-report of P.K.P.S Gadag, Ex.D.16-copy of application submit by Fakrusab, Ex.D.17-order of Tahasildar, copy of Sale deed dtd.21.1.1937 at Ex.D.18 and Copy of M.E.No.10950 at Ex.D.19.

21. Herein, on perusal of Ex.D.4 to Ex.D.17, these documents relating to K.L.R proceedings and order

with respect of Sl.No.2 & 3 properties, like, R.S.No.105/2 measuring 3A-26G and R.S.No.105/3 measuring 1A-31G, further these documents also undisputed and unchallenged, further these documents admits by plaintiffs as well. As such, Ex.P.16-sale deed with respect of sl.no.2 & 3 properties and which executed by plaintiffs' ancestress. But, as per Ex.D.4, after purchased the so property by one Hussainsab S/o. Davalsab Beleri @ Jirankali, thereafter, when commencement of The Karnataka Land Reforms Act-1961 and then said Hussensab's son by name Davalasab S/o. Hussenasab Beleri was registered his name as Protected Tenant with respect of sl.no.2 & 3 properties before Land Tribunal, Gadag, accordingly, after due trial, the Land Tribunal, Gadag has granted occupant rights over the said properties under order in KLR.SR.No.30

dtd.08.01.1976 in favour of Davalasab S/o. Hassensab Belere and the same is admitted by plaintiffs also, said K.L.R. proceedings held as per Ex.D.4 to 12. Accordingly, said Davalasab S/o. Hussensab Beleri has become absolute owner of said properties, i.e., sl.no.2 & 3 of suit properties by virtue of Land Tribunal order and the same is admits by plaintiffs also, as said Davalasab was the owner and in possession of sl.no.2 & 3 suit properties.

21. Further, so for sl.no.4 property i.e., R.S.No.105/4 to an extent of 9A-06G is concerned, D.W.1 has deposed that one Hussainsab D/o. Davalasab Beleri @ Jirankali has purchased the said property on 21.01.1937 from Veerabhadrappe S/o. Rudrappa Badiger (minor) Repted by M/G Smt. Kalavva W/o. Rudrappa Badiger and Shivappa S/o. Laxmappa Badiger(Minor) reptd by M/G mother-smt

Devakka, as such, in order to substantiate the same D.W.1 has produced certified copy of sale deed dtd. 21.01.1937 and got marked at Ex.D.18 and Ex.D.18 is undisputed and unchallenged document, further on perusal of Ex.D.18 it is clearly disclosed that said Hussainsab has been purchased the said property from as stated supra and in view of said sale deed the name of the Hussainsab also was mutated. Per contra, the plaintiffs have also admits so sale deed and further admits said property was purchased by Hussainsab and further admits that so property belongs to defendants, further admits that the defendants are in possession of so property. Thus, in entirety of Ex.P.16, Ex.D.4 to 12 and Ex.D.18 and oral evidence of both parties, it is clearly goes to show that there is no dispute about sl.no.2 to 3 properties i.e., R.S.no. 105/2 measuring 3A26G, R.S.No.105/3

measuring 1A31G and R.S.No.105/4 measuring 9A-17G are belongs to one Davalasab S/o. Hussainsab Beleri @ Jirankali who is propositu of defendants family and was in possession of so properties, further admits that after the death of Davalasab the defendants are in possession of sl.no.2 to 4 properties.

22. Now, the dispute is only with respect of sl.no.1 property i.e. R.S.No.105/1 to an extent of 4A-03G of Gadag. Herein, the question is Sl.No.1 properties is belongs to whom family, as either plaintiffs or defendants family. Admittedly, originally suit survey number 105 total measuring 18A37G was belongs to plaintiffs' ancestors and the same is also admits by the defendants herein, further admits that said R.S.No.105 was divided in to four parts, in that part no. 2 to 4 i.e., R.S.No. 105/1, 105/2, 105/3 and 105/4, out of these properties, the R.S.No. 105/2,

105/3 and 105/4 were purchased by Hussainsab S/o. Davalasab Belekeri from ancestors of plaintiffs by name Veerabhadra. Under such circumstance, automatically the remaining property i.e., sl.no.1 property i.e., R.S.No.105/1 measuring 4A-03G also belongs to plaintiffs ancestors. No doubt, the RTC Extract of sl.no.1 property the name of the defendants have been appeared, but, it doesn't mean that they have also purchased the same from ancestors of plaintiffs. Moreover, it is not the case of defendants that so property i.e., Sl.No.1 is belongs to them. Further, more so, in the oral evidence of D.W.1 in his chief examination clearly deposed that they are the owner of sl.no.2 to 4 suit properties, further deposed that his ancestors by name Hussainsab S/o. Davalasab Beleri was purchased the total properties to an extent of 13A-10G from as stated supra, further

deposed that the remaining property is belongs to Iravva W/o. Doddamanappa Badiger and Ishwarappa S/o. Rudrappa Badiger, this oral evidence clearly goes to show that the defendants themselves admits that the remaining property i.e., sl.no.1 property belongs to plaintiffs' ancestors. But, further D.W.1 has deposed that so property i.e., sl.no.1 is in possession of them since from ancestors' of plaintiffs. As such this version is also corroborated the RTC Extract of sl.no.1 property. Nevertheless, in the Argument canvassed by Advocate of Defendants that so property i.e., sl.no.1 which R.S.No.105/1 measuring 4A-03G also purchased by the defendants' ancestor, but, such Argument is not acceptable, as because of, firstly there is no such defence in the W.S., secondly, D.W.1 himself deposed in the chief examination that their ancestor purchased the property total to an extent of

13A-10G and remaining property belongs to said Badiger, further more there are no documents to show that the defendants' ancestor were purchased the sl.no.1 suit property. Under these circumstance, this court has come to conclusion that the sl.no.1 i.e., R.S.No.105/1 measuring 4A-03G is the vested with the plaintiffs' family, it means to say that the plaintiffs are the jointly owner of sl.No.1 i.e., R.S.No.105/1 measuring 4A-03G of Gadag and defendants are only owner of sl.no.2 to 4 suit properties. Under these circumstance. This court held **Issue No.1 and Issue No.3 answered in the Partly Affirmative respectively.**

23. ISSUE No.2, 4 & 5:- Whether in admittedly, the defendants are in possession over the suit

schedule Sl.No.2 to 4 properties which is admitted by plaintiffs themselves, however, as per Ex.D.4 to 8 and Ex.D.18 the ancestors of defendants were in possession over the Sl.No.2 to 4 properties, further as per Ex.P.2 to 4 the defendants are in possession over the suit schedule Sl.No.2 to 4 properties. Further Ex.P.1 it is clearly disclosed that the defendants are in possession over the properties, as such, Ex.P.1 is not disputed by the plaintiffs, further admittedly the ancestor of plaintiffs were handed over the entire properties of R.S.No.105 to the defendants for Lavani & Kor, but there are no documents to show when they have taken back the possession of sl.no.1 property. Further, the plaintiffs' witnesses who are P.W.2 to 6 themselves deposed that the defendants are in possession over the entire property. Thus, this court has come to conclusion that the defendants are in

possession over the suit properties. When so, the question of interference by the defendants doesn't arise. Per contra, the plaintiffs have sought the alternative relief of recovery of possession of sl.no.1 of the properties. The same is entitled, since, the plaintiffs are jointly owner over the sl.No.1 i.e., R.S.No.105/1 measuring 4A-3G and the defendants are in possession over the same and hence plaintiff is entitled the relief in part as claimed in the plaint. So far, Limitation is concerned, in this regard there is no specific defence and there is no specific oral evidence about in which manner the suit of the plaintiff is time barred. Under these circumstance this court held answered in **Issue No.2 in the Negative, Issue No.4 in the negative and Issue No.5 in the partly Affirmative.**

24. ISSUE No.6:- In view of findings and answer in Issue No.1 to 5 this Court proceeds to pass the following order.

O R D E R

The suit of the Plaintiffs is hereby decreed in part.

It is declared that the plaintiffs are jointly owner over the Suit Sl.No.1 Property i.e., R.S.No.105/1 measuring 4A-03G of Gadag.

Further suit of the plaintiffs with respect of Sl.No.2 to 4 are concerned is hereby dismissed.

Further, it is hereby directed to the defendants' handover the Sl.No.1 property to the plaintiffs within 30 days of this order. If, failed to do so, then it is liberty to plaintiffs to proceed for recover the sl.no.1

property as per law.

Draw Decree accordingly.

(I have personally typed in Lap-top, corrected and then pronounced in the open Court by me on this the 4th day of September 2019)

(RAJANNA SANKANNANAVAR)
Addl. Senior Civil Judge, Gadag.

ANNEXURE

LIST OF WITNESSES EXAMINED ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

PW-1 : Shivaputrappa Veerabhadrappe Badiger
PW-2 : Parutappa Makapur
PW-3 : Nagappa Kammar
PW-4 : Shankrappa Hadagli
PW-5 : Basappa Haddannavar
PW-6 : Balleshappa Makapur

LIST OF WITNESSES EXAMINED ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS:

DW-1 : Rajesab Beleri

LIST OF DOCUMENTS Marked ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

Ex.P1 to 4: RTC Extracts (4 in no's)
Ex.P5 to 10: copy of Mutation extracts
Ex.P11 : G-Tree
Ex.P.12-13: Death certificates(2 in no's)
Ex.P.14-15: RTC Extracts(2 in no's)
Ex.P.16 : C/copy of sale deed22.10.2016
Ex.P.17 : copy of Mutation Extract
Ex.P.18-19: Photos
Ex.P.20 : C.D.

LIST OF DOCUMENTS EXHIBITED ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS:

Ex.D.1 to 3: copy of Mutation extracts

- Ex.D.4 : KCR Proceedings
- Ex.D.5 : copy of notice
- Ex.D.6 : Form No.10
- Ex.D.7 : compensation notice
- Ex.D.8 : copy of application
- Ex.D.9 : KLR SR 30/Gadag
- Ex.D.10 : copy of order of spl. Tahasildar
- Ex.D.11 : Receipt
- Ex.D.12 : copy of application to spl.Tahasildar
- Ex.D.13 : copy of order of spl. Tahasildar
- Ex.D.14 : receipt
- Ex.D.15 : PKPS Gadag report
- Ex.D.16 : application submitted by Fakruddin
- Ex.D.17 : order of Tahasildar Gadag.
- Ex.D.18 : sale deed dtd. 21.1.1937
- Ex.D.19 : Copy of mutation (consent)

(RAJANNA SANKANNAVAR)
Addl. Senior Civil Judge Gadag.